

Gauge Theories from D Branes

Paolo Di Vecchia ^a and Antonella Liccardo ^b

^a *NORDITA, Blegdamsvej 17, DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark*
e-mail:divecchi@alf.nbi.dk

^d *Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Università di Napoli*
Complesso Universitario Monte S. Angelo, Via Cintia, I-80126 Napoli, Italy
e-mail:liccardo@na.infn.it

Summary. In these lectures we start with a pedagogical introduction of the properties of open and closed superstrings and then, using the open/closed string duality, we construct the boundary state that provides the description of the maximally supersymmetric Dp branes in terms of the perturbative string formalism. We then use it for deriving the corresponding supergravity solution and the Born-Infeld action and for studying the properties of the maximally supersymmetric gauge theories living on their worldvolume. In the last section of these lectures we extend these results to less supersymmetric and non-conformal gauge theories by considering fractional branes of orbifolds and wrapped branes.

Lectures given at the School "Frontiers in Number Theory, Physics and Geometry", Les Houches, March 2003.

1 Introduction

The discovery that superstring theories contain not only strings but also other non-perturbative p -dimensional objects, called p branes, has been a source of major progress not only in order to arrive at the formulation of M theory, but also for studying perturbative and non-perturbative properties of the gauge theories living on the brane world-volume. In fact the so-called Dirichlet branes (Dp branes) of type II theories admit two distinct descriptions. On the one hand they are classical solutions of the low-energy string effective action and therefore may be described in terms of closed strings. On the other hand their dynamics is determined by the degrees of freedom of the open strings with endpoints attached to their world-volume, satisfying Dirichlet boundary conditions along the directions transverse to the branes. Thus they may be described in terms of open strings, as well. This twofold description of the Dp branes was at the basis of the Maldacena conjecture [1] providing the equivalence between a closed string theory, as the type IIB on $AdS_5 \times S^5$, and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills whose degrees of freedom correspond to the massless excitations of the open strings having their endpoints attached to a D3 brane. It is also at the basis of recent studies of the perturbative and non-perturbative properties of the gauge theories living on less supersymmetric and non-conformal branes by means of classical solutions of the supergravity equations of motion that we will present in the last section of this lectures.

The fact that a Dp brane admits two distinct but equivalent descriptions in the open and closed string channel goes in the literature under the name of gauge-gravity correspondence. This correspondence is a direct consequence of the open/closed string duality that states that the one-loop annulus diagram of open strings can be equivalently written as a tree diagram of closed strings. This equivalence is known since the early days of string theory and was subsequently developed later ¹. It is in fact the open/closed string duality that allows to construct the boundary state that is the basic tool for describing the Dp branes in the framework of string theory. In these lectures we show how both the open and closed string properties of Dp branes can be conveniently studied using the formalism of the boundary state and how from it one can reach a non trivial understanding of the properties of the gauge theory living on their world-volume. This will be done first for maximally supersymmetric theories, as for instance $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills that lives on a D3 brane, and will be extended in the last section to less supersymmetric and non-conformal gauge theories ².

The lectures are organized as follows. After a self-consistent review of the main properties of perturbative open and closed superstring theories done in sect. 2, we present the description of Dp branes in terms of closed strings in sect. 3 and the one in terms of open strings in sect. 4. Sections 5 and 6 are devoted to the construction of the boundary state and to the calculation of brane interaction by means of the one-loop annulus diagram. In sect. 7 we derive from the boundary state the large distance behavior of the corresponding classical supergravity solution and the Born-Infeld action and we show that the gauge theory living on the maximally supersymmetric Dp branes is the dimensional reduction of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super Yang-Mills in ten dimensions to $(p + 1)$ dimensions. Finally in sect. 8 we extend this procedure to less supersymmetric and non-conformal gauge theories by considering fractional and wrapped branes.

2 Perturbative String Theory

The action that describes the space-time propagation of a supersymmetric string in the superconformal gauge is given by

$$S = -\frac{T}{2} \int_M d\tau d\sigma \left(\eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X_\mu - i \bar{\psi}^\mu \rho^\alpha \partial_\alpha \psi_\mu \right), \quad (2.1)$$

¹ For a set of relevant references on this subject see for instance Ref. [2].

² Recent reviews on this subject can be found in Ref.s [3, 4].

where T is the string tension related to the Regge slope by $T = (2\pi\alpha')^{-1}$, M is the world-sheet of the string described by the coordinate $\xi^\alpha \equiv (\tau, \sigma)$, ψ is a world-sheet Majorana spinor and the matrices ρ^α provide a representation of the Clifford algebra $\{\rho^\alpha, \rho^\beta\} = -2\eta^{\alpha\beta}$ in two dimensions.

The previous action is invariant under the following supersymmetry transformations

$$\delta X^\mu = \bar{\alpha}\psi^\mu, \quad \delta\psi^\mu = -i\rho^\alpha\partial_\alpha X^\mu\alpha, \quad \delta\bar{\psi}^\mu = i\bar{\alpha}\rho^\alpha\partial_\alpha X^\mu, \quad (2.2)$$

where α is a Majorana spinor that satisfies the equation:

$$\rho^\beta\rho^\alpha\partial_\beta\alpha = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

The equation of motion and boundary conditions for the string coordinate X^μ following from the action in Eq.(2.1) are

$$(\partial_\sigma^2 - \partial_\tau^2)X^\mu = 0, \quad \mu = 0, \dots, d-1, \quad (2.4)$$

$$(\partial_\sigma X \cdot \delta X|_{\sigma=\pi} - \partial_\sigma X \cdot \delta X|_{\sigma=0}) = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\sigma \in [0, \pi]$. Eq.(2.5) can be satisfied either by imposing the periodicity condition

$$X^\mu(\tau, 0) = X^\mu(\tau, \pi), \quad (2.6)$$

which leads to a theory of closed strings, or by requiring

$$\partial_\sigma X_\mu \delta X^\mu|_{0,\pi} = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

separately at both $\sigma = 0$ and $\sigma = \pi$ obtaining a theory of open strings. In the latter case Eq.(2.7) can be satisfied in either of the two ways

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\sigma X_\mu|_{0,\pi} = 0 \rightarrow \text{Neumann boundary conditions} \\ \delta X^\mu|_{0,\pi} = 0 \rightarrow \text{Dirichlet boundary conditions.} \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

If the open string satisfies Neumann boundary conditions at both its endpoints (N-N boundary conditions) the general solution of the Eq.s (2.4) and (2.5) is equal to

$$X^\mu(\tau, \sigma) = q^\mu + 2\alpha'p^\mu\tau + i\sqrt{2\alpha'}\sum_{n \neq 0} \left(\frac{\alpha_n^\mu}{n} e^{-in\tau} \cos n\sigma \right), \quad (2.9)$$

where n is an integer. For D-D boundary conditions we have instead

$$X^\mu(\tau, \sigma) = \frac{c^\mu(\pi - \sigma) + d^\mu\sigma}{\pi} - \sqrt{2\alpha'}\sum_{n \neq 0} \left(\frac{\alpha_n^\mu}{n} e^{-in\tau} \sin n\sigma \right). \quad (2.10)$$

One can also have mixed boundary conditions. The expression of the string coordinates in this case can be found in Ref. [2].

For a closed string the most general solution of the Eq.s of motion and of the periodicity condition in Eq.(2.6) can be written as follows

$$X^\mu(\tau, \sigma) = q^\mu + 2\alpha'p^\mu\tau + i\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}}\sum_{n \neq 0} \left(\frac{\alpha_n^\mu}{n} e^{-2in(\tau-\sigma)} + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_n^\mu}{n} e^{-2in(\tau+\sigma)} \right), \quad (2.11)$$

In order to discuss the fermionic degrees of freedom, it is useful to introduce light cone coordinates

$$\xi_+ = \tau + \sigma \quad ; \quad \xi_- = \tau - \sigma \quad , \quad \partial_\pm \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_\pm}, \quad (2.12)$$

In terms of them the Eq. of motion for ψ becomes

$$\partial_+ \psi_-^\mu = 0 \quad ; \quad \partial_- \psi_+^\mu = 0, \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$\psi_\pm^\mu = \frac{1 \mp \rho^3}{2} \psi^\mu \quad ; \quad \rho^3 \equiv \rho^0 \rho^1. \quad (2.14)$$

The boundary conditions following from Eq. (2.1) are

$$(\psi_+ \delta \psi_+ - \psi_- \delta \psi_-) |_{\sigma=0}^{\sigma=\pi} = 0. \quad (2.15)$$

which, in the case of an open string are satisfied if

$$\begin{cases} \psi_-(0, \tau) = \eta_1 \psi_+(0, \tau) \\ \psi_-(\pi, \tau) = \eta_2 \psi_+(\pi, \tau) \end{cases}, \quad (2.16)$$

where η_1 and η_2 can take the values ± 1 . In particular if $\eta_1 = \eta_2$ we get what is called the Ramond (R) sector of the open string, while if $\eta_1 = -\eta_2$ we get the Neveu-Schwarz (NS) sector. In the case of a closed string the fermionic coordinates $\psi_\pm$ are independent from each other and they can be either periodic or anti-periodic. This amounts to impose the following conditions:

$$\psi_-^\mu(0, \tau) = \eta_3 \psi_-^\mu(\pi, \tau) \quad \psi_+^\mu(0, \tau) = \eta_4 \psi_+^\mu(\pi, \tau), \quad (2.17)$$

that satisfy the boundary conditions in Eq.(2.15). In this case we have four different sectors according to the two values that η_3 and η_4 take

$$\begin{cases} \eta_3 = \eta_4 = 1 \Rightarrow (\text{R} - \text{R}) \\ \eta_3 = \eta_4 = -1 \Rightarrow (\text{NS} - \text{NS}) \\ \eta_3 = -\eta_4 = 1 \Rightarrow (\text{R} - \text{NS}) \\ \eta_3 = -\eta_4 = -1 \Rightarrow (\text{NS} - \text{R}) \end{cases}. \quad (2.18)$$

The general solution of Eq.(2.13) satisfying the boundary conditions in Eq.s (2.16) is given by

$$\psi_\mp^\mu \sim \sum_t \psi_t^\mu e^{-it(\tau \mp \sigma)} \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} t \in Z + \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \text{NS sector} \\ t \in Z \rightarrow \text{R sector} \end{cases}, \quad (2.19)$$

while the ones satisfying the boundary conditions in Eq.(2.17) are given by

$$\psi_-^\mu \sim \sum_t \psi_t^\mu e^{-2it(\tau - \sigma)} \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} t \in Z + \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \text{NS sector} \\ t \in Z \rightarrow \text{R sector} \end{cases}, \quad (2.20)$$

$$\psi_+^\mu \sim \sum_t \tilde{\psi}_t^\mu e^{-2it(\tau + \sigma)} \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} t \in Z + \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{NS}} \text{ sector} \\ t \in Z \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{R}} \text{ sector} \end{cases}. \quad (2.21)$$

In the quantum string theory the oscillators α_n and $\tilde{\alpha}_n$ play the role of creation and annihilation operators acting on a Fock space and satisfying the commutation relations

$$[\alpha_m^\mu, \alpha_n^\nu] = [\tilde{\alpha}_m^\mu, \tilde{\alpha}_n^\nu] = m \delta_{m+n,0} \eta^{\mu\nu} \quad ; \quad [\hat{q}^\mu, \hat{p}^\nu] = i \eta^{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.22)$$

$$[\alpha_m^\mu, \tilde{\alpha}_n^\nu] = [\hat{q}^\mu, \hat{q}^\nu] = [\hat{p}^\mu, \hat{p}^\nu] = 0. \quad (2.23)$$

which can be obtained by imposing the standard equal time commutators between the bosonic string coordinates. Analogously the fermionic oscillators satisfy the anticommutation relations

$$\{\psi_t^\mu, \psi_v^\nu\} = \{\tilde{\psi}_t^\mu, \tilde{\psi}_v^\nu\} = \eta^{\mu\nu} \delta_{v+t,0} \quad \{\psi_t^\mu, \tilde{\psi}_v^\nu\} = 0 \quad (2.24)$$

following from the canonical anticommutation relations between the fermionic coordinates. The closed string vacuum state $|0\rangle|\tilde{0}\rangle|p\rangle$ with momentum p is defined by the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_n^\mu |0\rangle|\tilde{0}\rangle|p\rangle &= \tilde{\alpha}_n^\mu |0\rangle|\tilde{0}\rangle|p\rangle = 0 \quad \forall n > 0 \quad , \\
\psi_t^\mu |0\rangle|\tilde{0}\rangle|p\rangle &= \tilde{\psi}_t^\mu |0\rangle|\tilde{0}\rangle|p\rangle = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad \begin{cases} t \geq \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \text{NS sector} \\ t \geq 1 \rightarrow \text{R sector} \end{cases} , \\
\hat{p}^\mu |0\rangle|\tilde{0}\rangle|p\rangle &= p^\mu |0\rangle|\tilde{0}\rangle|p\rangle .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

For open strings the vacuum state is $|0\rangle|p\rangle$, and its definition involves only one kind of oscillators.

Notice that in the R sector there are fermionic zero modes satisfying the Dirac algebra that follows from Eq.(2.24) for $t, v = 0$:

$$\{\psi_0^\mu, \psi_0^\nu\} = \eta^{\mu\nu} \tag{2.26}$$

and therefore they can be represented as Dirac Γ -matrices. This implies that the ground state of the Ramond sector transforms as a Dirac spinor and we can label it with a spinor index A . It is annihilated by all annihilation operators ψ_t^μ with $t > 0$. The action of ψ_0 on the open string vacuum is given by

$$\psi_0^\mu |A\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\Gamma^\mu)_C^A |C\rangle \quad , \tag{2.27}$$

while that on the closed string ground state is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_0^\mu |A\rangle|\tilde{B}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\Gamma^\mu)_C^A (\mathbb{1})_D^B |C\rangle|\tilde{D}\rangle \\
\tilde{\psi}_0^\mu |A\rangle|\tilde{B}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\Gamma_{11})_C^A (\Gamma^\mu)_D^B |C\rangle|\tilde{D}\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

Because of the Lorentz metric the Fock space defined by the relations in Eq.s (2.22) - (2.24) contains states with negative norm. To select only physical states one has to introduce the energy momentum tensor and the supercurrent and to look at the action that these two operators have on the states. The energy-momentum tensor, in the light cone coordinates, has two non zero components

$$T_{++} = \partial_+ X \cdot \partial_+ X + \frac{i}{2} \psi_+ \cdot \partial_+ \psi_+ \quad ; \quad T_{--} = \partial_- X \cdot \partial_- X + \frac{i}{2} \psi_- \cdot \partial_- \psi_- \quad , \tag{2.29}$$

while the supercurrent, which is the Nöther current associated to the supersymmetry transformation in Eq.(2.2), is

$$J_- = \psi_- \cdot \partial_- X \quad ; \quad J_+ = \psi_+ \cdot \partial_+ X \quad . \tag{2.30}$$

The Fourier components of T_{--} and T_{++} are called Virasoro generators and are given by

$$L_n = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{-m} \cdot \alpha_{n+m} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_t \left(\frac{n}{2} + t \right) \psi_{-t} \cdot \psi_{t+n}, \quad \forall n > 0 \tag{2.31}$$

with an analogous expression for $\tilde{L}_m$ in the closed string case, while

$$L_0 = \alpha' \hat{p}^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{-n} \cdot \alpha_n + \sum_{t>0} t \psi_{-t} \cdot \psi_t. \tag{2.32}$$

in the open string case and

$$L_0 = \frac{\alpha'}{4} \hat{p}^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{-n} \cdot \alpha_n + \sum_{t>0} t \psi_{-t} \cdot \psi_t \quad , \quad \tilde{L}_0 = \frac{\alpha'}{4} \hat{p}^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\alpha}_{-n} \cdot \tilde{\alpha}_n + \sum_{t>0} t \tilde{\psi}_{-t} \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t \tag{2.33}$$

for a closed string. The conditions which select the physical states involve also the Fourier components of the supercurrent, denoted with G_t (and $\tilde{G}_t$ for closed strings). The operator G_t is given by

$$G_t = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_{-n} \cdot \psi_{t+n} \quad ; \quad (2.34)$$

with an analogous expression for $\tilde{G}_t$ in the closed string case.

The physical states are those satisfying the conditions

$$\begin{cases} L_m |\psi_{\text{phys}}\rangle = 0 & m > 0 \\ (L_0 - a_0) |\psi_{\text{phys}}\rangle = 0 \\ G_t |\psi_{\text{phys}}\rangle = 0 & \forall t \geq 0 \end{cases}, \quad (2.35)$$

where $a_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ for the NS sector and $a_0 = 0$ for the R sector. In the closed string case one must also impose analogous conditions involving $\tilde{L}_m$ and $\tilde{G}_t$.

The spectrum of the theory is given in the open string case by

$$M^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha'} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{-n} \cdot \alpha_n + \sum_{t>0} t \psi_{-t} \cdot \psi_t - a_0 \right) \quad (2.36)$$

while in the closed case by

$$M^2 = \frac{1}{2} (M_+^2 + M_-^2) \quad , \quad (2.37)$$

where

$$M_-^2 = \frac{4}{\alpha'} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{-n} \cdot \alpha_n + \sum_t t \psi_{-t} \cdot \psi_t - a_0 \right), \quad M_+^2 = \frac{4}{\alpha'} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\alpha}_{-n} \cdot \tilde{\alpha}_n + \sum_t t \tilde{\psi}_{-t} \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t - \tilde{a}_0 \right). \quad (2.38)$$

For closed strings we should also add the level matching condition

$$(L_0 - \tilde{L}_0 - a_0 + \tilde{a}_0) |\psi_{\text{phys}}\rangle = 0. \quad (2.39)$$

Let us now concentrate on the massless spectrum of superstring theories. Imposing the physical states conditions given in Eq. (2.35) for open strings, we get in the NS sector the following massless state:

$$\epsilon_\mu(k) \psi_{-1/2}^\mu |0, k\rangle \quad k \cdot \epsilon = 0 \quad ; \quad k^2 = 0 \quad (2.40)$$

that corresponds to a gauge vector field, with transverse polarization, while in the R sector the massless state is given by:

$$u_A(k) |A, k\rangle \quad ; \quad u_A(k \cdot \Gamma)^A_B = 0 \quad k^2 = 0 \quad (2.41)$$

that in general corresponds to a spinor field in d dimensions. A necessary condition for having space-time supersymmetry is that the number of physical bosonic degrees of freedom be equal to that of physical fermionic degrees of freedom. In the NS sector we have found a vector field, which in 10 dimensions has 8 degrees of freedom (i.e. $(d-2)$). In the R sector instead we got a spinor field. The number of degrees of freedom of a spinor in d dimension is $2^{d/2}$, if it is a Dirac spinor, $2^{d/2}/2$ if it is a Majorana or Weyl spinor and $2^{d/2}/4$ in the case of Majorana-Weyl spinor (for d even). In $d = 10$ the only spinor having the same number of degrees of freedom of a vector is the Majorana-Weyl (with 8 d.o.f.). Thus in order to have supersymmetry we must impose the ground state of the Ramond sector to be a Majorana-Weyl spinor. Since the fermionic coordinates ψ^μ are real we expect the spinor to be Majorana. In order to get also a Weyl ground state we must impose an additional condition that goes under the name of GSO projection. In the Ramond sector the GSO projection implies that we must restrict ourselves to the states that are not annihilated by one of the two following operators (for instance the one with the sign +):

$$P_R = \frac{1 \pm \psi_{11} (-1)^{F_R}}{2} \quad \text{where} \quad F_R = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_{-n} \cdot \psi_n \quad \psi_{11} \equiv 2^5 \psi_0^0 \psi_0^1 \dots \psi_0^9 \quad (2.42)$$

On the other hand, in order to eliminate the states with half-integer squared mass in units of α' that are present in the spectrum of the NS but not in the R sector, we must perform a similar projection also in the NS sector introducing the operator

$$P_{NS} = \frac{1 + (-1)^{F_{NS}}}{2} \quad \text{where} \quad F_{NS} = \sum_{t=1/2}^{\infty} \psi_{-t} \cdot \psi_t - 1 \quad (2.43)$$

Because of the previous projections, the tachyon with mass $M^2 = -1/(2\alpha')$, appearing in the NS-sector of the spectrum is projected out and the ground state fermion is a Majorana-Weyl spinor in ten dimensions with only 8 physical degrees of freedom.

In the closed string case we have four different sectors and we have to perform the GSO projection in each sector. Then one needs to define analogous quantities $\widetilde{F}_{NS}, \widetilde{F}_R, \widetilde{P}_{NS}$ and $\widetilde{P}_R$ in terms of the right handed oscillators. In so doing one can choose $\widetilde{P}_R$ to have the same or the opposite sign ($\pm$) with respect to the one appearing in the definition of P_R . Then if P_R and $\widetilde{P}_R$ are defined with the same sign (+ or -) the two Majorana-Weyl spinors u_A and $\widetilde{u}_A$ of the left and right sectors have the same chirality (+ or -). Choosing instead the opposite sign they have opposite chirality. These two situations corresponds to two different superstring models. Indeed the first case corresponds to the type IIB (chiral) theory and the second case to the type IIA (non chiral) theory. In the NS-NS sector the massless states are given by:

$$\psi_{-1/2}^\mu \widetilde{\psi}_{-1/2}^\nu |0, \frac{k}{2}\rangle |0, \frac{k}{2}\rangle \quad k^2 = 0 \quad (2.44)$$

Those corresponding to a graviton are obtained by saturating the state in the previous equation with the symmetric and traceless tensor:

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu}^{(h)} = \epsilon_{\nu\mu}^{(h)} \quad \epsilon_{\mu\nu}^{(h)} \eta^{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (2.45)$$

Those corresponding to an antisymmetric 2-form tensor are obtained by saturating the state in Eq.(2.44) with an antisymmetric polarization tensor:

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu}^{(A)} = -\epsilon_{\nu\mu}^{(A)} \quad (2.46)$$

Finally the dilaton is obtained by saturating the state in Eq.(2.44) with the following tensor:

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu}^{(\phi)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} [\eta_{\mu\nu} - k_\mu \ell_\nu - k_\nu \ell_\mu] \quad (2.47)$$

where $\ell^2 = k^2 = 0$ and $\ell \cdot k = 1$. The physical conditions imply that the polarization tensors for both the graviton and the antisymmetric tensor satisfy the condition:

$$k^\mu \epsilon_{\mu\nu}^{(h)} = 0 \quad k^\nu \epsilon_{\mu\nu}^{(A)} = 0 \quad (2.48)$$

Then we have a R-NS sector whose massless state is given by:

$$u_A(k) |A, k/2\rangle \epsilon_\mu(k) \psi_{-1/2}^\mu |0, \widetilde{k}/2\rangle \quad (2.49)$$

By introducing a spinorial quantity with a vector index $\chi^\mu(k) \equiv u(k) \epsilon^\mu(k)$ it is easy to check that the physical conditions imply that χ satisfies the two equations:

$$(\chi)_B (\Gamma^\mu)^B_A k_\mu = 0 \quad k \cdot \chi = 0 \quad (2.50)$$

The vector spinor is reducible under the action of the Lorentz group. It can be decomposed in the following way:

$$(\hat{\chi}_\mu)^A = \left[(\hat{\chi}_\mu)^A - \frac{1}{D} \Gamma_\mu (\Gamma^\nu \hat{\chi}_\nu)^A \right] + \frac{1}{D} \Gamma_\mu (\Gamma^\nu \hat{\chi}_\nu)^A \quad (2.51)$$

The first term corresponds to a gravitino with spin 3/2, while the second one to the dilatino with spin 1/2. They have opposite chirality. The same considerations apply also to the R-NS sector that provides also a gravitino and a dilatino as in the case of the NS-R sector. In both these sectors one gets space-time fermions.

Finally we have the R-R sector that as the NS-NS sector contains bosonic states. The massless states of the R-R sector are given by:

$$u_A(k) \tilde{u}_B(k) |A, k/2\rangle |B, \widetilde{k/2}\rangle \quad (2.52)$$

They are physical states (annihilated by G_0 and $\tilde{G}_0$) if u and $\tilde{u}$ satisfy the Dirac equation:

$$u_A(k) (k \cdot \Gamma)_B^A = \tilde{u}_A(k) (k \cdot \Gamma)_B^A = 0 \quad (2.53)$$

In order to investigate further aspects of the R-R spectrum and also for discussing vertex operators that are needed to write scattering amplitudes among string states, it is useful to use the conformal properties of string theory. Indeed string theory in the conformal gauge is a two-dimensional conformal field theory. Thus, instead of the operatorial analysis that we have discussed until now, one could give an equivalent description by using the language of conformal field theory in which one works with OPEs rather than commutators or anticommutators. We are not going to discuss here this alternative description in detail (for a careful discussion see [5],[2]), but we will limit our conformal discussion only to those string aspects that get an easier formulation in terms of conformal field theory.

In the conformal formulation one introduces the variables z and $\bar{z}$ that are related to the world sheet variables τ and σ through the conformal transformation:

$$z = e^{2i(\tau-\sigma)} \quad ; \quad \bar{z} = e^{2i(\tau+\sigma)} \quad , \quad (2.54)$$

in the closed string case and

$$z = e^{i(\tau-\sigma)} \quad ; \quad \bar{z} = e^{i(\tau+\sigma)} \quad (2.55)$$

in the open string case and then express the bosonic and fermionic coordinates, given in Eq.s (2.9), (2.10) and (2.19) for open strings and in Eq.s (2.11), (2.20) and (2.21) for closed strings, in terms of z and $\bar{z}$.

Using the conformal language the R-R vacuum state $|A\rangle |\tilde{B}\rangle$ can be written in terms of the NS - NS vacuum by introducing the spin fields $S^A(z), \tilde{S}^B(\bar{z})$ satisfying the equation:

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} S^A(z) \tilde{S}^B(\bar{z}) |0\rangle |\tilde{0}\rangle = |A\rangle |\tilde{B}\rangle \quad , \quad (2.56)$$

where $|0\rangle$ is the NS vacuum. Inserting this equation in Eq.(2.52) one can expand it as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} u_A(k) S^A(z) \tilde{u}_B \tilde{S}^B(\bar{z}) |0\rangle |\tilde{0}\rangle = \\ & = \frac{1}{2^5} \sum_{n=0}^{10} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n!} u_A(k) (\Gamma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} C^{-1})^{AB} \tilde{u}_B \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} S^C(z) (C \Gamma^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n})_{CD} \tilde{S}^D(\bar{z}) |0\rangle |\tilde{0}\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (2.57)$$

where $\Gamma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}$ is the completely antisymmetrized product of n Γ -matrices.

Also in this case the two spinors can be taken with the same or opposite chirality. Let us assume that they have the same chirality corresponding to IIB superstring theory. In this case they both satisfy the two following Eq.s

$$u_A \left(\frac{1 + \Gamma_{11}}{2} \right)_B^A = 0 \quad ; \quad \left(\frac{1 - \Gamma_{11}}{2} \right)_B^A (C^{-1})^{BC} \tilde{u}_C = 0 \quad (2.58)$$

where the second is obtained from the first by using that $\Gamma_{11}^T = -C\Gamma_{11}C^{-1}$. With the help of the two previous Eq.s it is straightforward to show that:

$$u_A(\Gamma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} C^{-1})^{AB} \tilde{u}_B = (-1)^{n+1} u_A(\Gamma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} C^{-1})^{AB} \tilde{u}_B \quad (2.59)$$

This means that only the terms with n odd contribute in the sum in Eq.(2.57). If we had spinors with opposite chirality then only the terms with n even will be contributing. It remains to show that the even or the odd values of n are actually not independent. In fact the first Eq. in (2.58) implies that

$$u_E \left(\frac{1 + \Gamma_{11}}{2} \right)_F^E (\Gamma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} C^{-1})^{FG} \tilde{u}_G = 0 \quad (2.60)$$

But, by using that

$$\Gamma_{11} \Gamma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} = \frac{(-1)^{(n+2)(n-1)/2}}{(10-n)!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n \mu_{n+1} \dots \mu_{10}} \Gamma^{\mu_{n+1} \dots \mu_{10}} \quad (2.61)$$

in Eq.(2.60) we get

$$u \Gamma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} C^{-1} \tilde{u} + \frac{(-1)^{(n+2)(n-1)/2}}{(10-n)!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n \mu_{n+1} \dots \mu_{10}} u \Gamma^{\mu_{n+1} \dots \mu_{10}} \tilde{u} = 0 \quad (2.62)$$

that shows that the terms with $n > 5$ are related to those with $n < 5$. For $n = 5$ we get the following self-duality relation:

$$u \Gamma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_5} C^{-1} \tilde{u} + \frac{1}{5!} \epsilon_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{10}} u \Gamma^{\mu_6 \dots \mu_{10}} \tilde{u} = 0 \quad (2.63)$$

This means that in type IIB we can limit ourselves to $n = 1, 3, 5$, while in type IIA to $n = 2, 4$, being the other values related to those.

It can also be shown that the quantities in Eq.(2.62) correspond to field strengths and not to potentials:

$$F_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} \equiv u \Gamma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} C^{-1} \tilde{u} \quad (2.64)$$

This follows from the fact that $F_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n}$ satisfies both the Eq. of motion and the Bianchi identity that in form notation are given by:

$$dF_n = d(*F)_{10-n} = 0 \quad (2.65)$$

They can be obtained from Eq.(2.64) remembering that the two spinors appearing in it, in order to be physical, must satisfy the Dirac equation given in Eq.(2.53). Therefore we have the following R-R potentials

$$C_0, C_2, C_4 \quad \text{in type IIB} \quad (2.66)$$

$$C_1, C_3 \quad \text{in type IIA} \quad (2.67)$$

where the subindex indicates the rank of the form.

In conclusion the bosonic spectrum of the two closed superstring IIA and IIB consists of a graviton $G_{\mu\nu}$, a dilaton ϕ and a two-form potential B_2 in the NS-NS sector and of the RR fields given in Eq.(2.66) for the type IIB and in Eq.(2.67) for the type IIA theory. The number of physical degrees of freedom of the previous fields is given in Table 1. We have assumed that d is even. If d is odd the fermionic degrees of freedom are given by $2^{(d-1)/2}$ instead of $2^{d/2}$. By counting the number of physical degrees of freedom for both type II theories it is easy to check that the number of bosonic degrees of freedom (128) equals that of fermionic ones (128) as expected in a supersymmetric theory. It turns out that the actions describing the low-energy degrees of freedom in the two closed superstring theories are the type IIB and type IIA supergravities that we will write down in the next section.

Table 1. Degrees of Freedom

STATE	d-dims	10-dims
$G_{\mu\nu}$	$(d-2)(d-1)/2 - 1$	35
ϕ	1	1
B_2	$(d-2)(d-3)/2$	28
C_0	1	1
C_1	d-2	8
C_2	$(d-2)(d-3)/2$	28
C_3	$(d-2)(d-3)(d-4)/6$	56
C_4	$(d-2)(d-3)(d-4)(d-5)/(2 \cdot 4!)$	35
χ_μ^A	$(d-3)2^{d/2}/4$	56
ψ^A	$2^{d/2}/4$	8

Until now we have analyzed the string spectrum in the operatorial framework, in which the physical states are constructed by acting with the oscillators α and ψ on the vacuum state. However, in order to compute string scattering amplitudes, the conformal description of string theory is much more suitable than the operatorial one. Indeed in the conformal framework one defines a vertex operator for each string state and then express a scattering amplitude among string states in terms of a correlator between the corresponding vertex operators.

In a conformal field theory one introduces the concept of conformal or primary field $\mathcal{V}(z)$ of dimension h as an object that satisfies the following OPE with the energy momentum tensor $T(z)$:

$$T(z)\mathcal{V}(w) = \frac{\partial_w \mathcal{V}(w)}{z-w} + \frac{h\mathcal{V}(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \dots \quad (2.68)$$

where the dots indicate non singular terms when $z \rightarrow w$. In order to perform a covariant quantization of string theory we have to consider also the ghost and superghost degrees of freedom that we have completely disregarded until now. They arise from the exponentiation of the Faddeev-Popov determinant that is obtained when the string is quantized through the path-integral quantization. In particular choosing the conformal gauge, one gets the following action [5]

$$S_{\text{gh-sgh}} \sim \int d^2z [(b\bar{\partial}c + \text{c.c.}) + (\beta\bar{\partial}\gamma + \text{c.c.})] \quad (2.69)$$

where b and c are fermionic fields with conformal dimension equal respectively to 2 and -1 while β and γ are bosonic fields with conformal dimensions equal respectively to $3/2$ and $-1/2$.

With the introduction of ghosts the string action in the conformal gauge becomes invariant under the BRST transformations and the physical states are characterized by the fact that they are annihilated by the BRST charge that is given by

$$Q \equiv \oint dz J_{BRST}(z) = Q_0 + Q_1 + Q_2 \quad (2.70)$$

where

$$Q_0 = \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i} c(z) [T(z) + T^{\beta\gamma}(z) + \partial c(z)b(z)] \quad (2.71)$$

and

$$Q_1 = \frac{1}{2} \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \gamma(z)\psi(z) \cdot \partial X(z) \quad ; \quad Q_2 = -\frac{1}{4} \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \gamma^2(z)b(z) \quad (2.72)$$

where

$$T^{bc}(z) = [-2b\partial c - \partial bc] : \quad T^{\beta\gamma}(z) = [-\frac{3}{2}\beta\partial\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\partial\beta\gamma] \quad (2.73)$$

It is convenient to use the bosonized variables:

$$\gamma(z) = e^{\varphi(z)}\eta(z) \quad , \quad \beta(z) = \partial\xi(z)e^{-\varphi(z)} \quad (2.74)$$

In terms of them Q_1 and Q_2 become:

$$Q_1 = \frac{1}{2} \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i} e^{\varphi(z)}\eta(z)\psi(z) \cdot \partial X(z) \quad ; \quad Q_2 = \frac{1}{4} \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i} b(z)\eta(z)\partial\eta(z)e^{2\varphi(z)} \quad (2.75)$$

A vertex operator corresponding to a physical state must be BRST invariant, i.e.

$$[Q, \mathcal{W}(z)]_\eta = 0 \quad (2.76)$$

where $[\cdot]_\eta$ means commutator ($\eta = -1$) [anticommutator ($\eta = 1$)] when the vertex operator is a bosonic [fermionic] quantity.

In the massless NS sector of open strings the correct BRST invariant vertex operator with the inclusion of the ghosts and superghosts contribution turns out to be

$$\mathcal{W}_{-1}(z) = c(z)e^{-\varphi(z)}\epsilon \cdot \psi(z)e^{i\sqrt{2\alpha'}k \cdot X(z)} \quad (2.77)$$

Here and in the following we use dimensionless string fields X and ψ , and also the momentum k always appear in the dimensionless combination $\sqrt{2\alpha'}k$. The previous vertex is BRST invariant if $k^2 = \epsilon \cdot k = 0$. This can be shown in the following way. Let us define for convenience:

$$\mathcal{W}_{-1}(z) \equiv c(z)F(z) \quad (2.78)$$

and let us compute:

$$\begin{aligned} [Q_0, \mathcal{W}_{-1}(w)] &= \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i} c(z) [T(z) + T^{\beta\gamma}(z) + \partial c(z)b(z)] \mathcal{W}_{-1}(w) = \\ &= \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \left\{ c(z)c(w) \left[\frac{\partial_w F(w)}{z-w} + \frac{F(w)}{(z-w)^2} \right] + \frac{(c\partial c F)(w)}{z-w} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.79)$$

where we have used the fact that $F(w)$ is a conformal field with dimension equal to 1, which implies that the four-momentum of the vertex must be light-like $k^2 = 0$. Expanding around the point $z = w$ and keeping only the terms that are singular i.e. the only ones that give a non-vanishing contribution in the previous equation we get:

$$\oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \left\{ [c(w) + (z-w)\partial c(w)] \left[\frac{c(w)\partial F(w)}{z-w} + \frac{\mathcal{W}_{-1}(w)}{(z-w)^2} \right] - \frac{\partial c(w)\mathcal{W}_{-1}(w)}{(z-w)} \right\} = 0 \quad (2.80)$$

because the term $c(w)$ in the square bracket gives no contribution ($c^2 = 0$) and the other singular terms just trivially cancel. Following the same procedure it can also be checked that

$$[Q_1, \mathcal{W}_{-1}(w)] = [Q_2, \mathcal{W}_{-1}(w)] = 0 \quad (2.81)$$

The second equation is valid in general, while the first one is only valid if $\epsilon \cdot k = 0$. In conclusion we have seen that the vertex operator in Eq.(2.77) is BRST invariant if $k^2 = \epsilon \cdot k = 0$.

We can proceed in an analogous way in the R sector and obtain the following BRST invariant vertex operator for the massless fermionic state of open superstring [5]:

$$\mathcal{W}_{-1/2}(z) = u_A(k)c(z)S^A(z)e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varphi(z)}e^{i\sqrt{2\alpha'}k \cdot X(z)} \quad (2.82)$$

It is BRST invariant if $k^2 = 0$ and $u_A(\Gamma^\mu)_B^A k_\mu = 0$. Both vertices in Eq.s (2.77) and (2.82) have conformal dimension equal to zero.

Moreover in superstring for each physical state we can construct an infinite tower of equivalent physical vertex operators all (anti)commuting with the BRST charge and characterized according

to their superghost picture P that is equal to the total ghost number of the scalar field φ and of the $\eta\xi$ system that appear in the "bosonization" of the $\beta\gamma$ system according to Eq.(2.74) (for more details see [2]):

$$P = \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i} (-\partial\varphi + \xi\eta) \quad (2.83)$$

For example the vertex in Eq.(2.77), which does not contain the fields η and ξ but only the field φ appearing in the exponent with a factor -1 , is in the picture -1 . Analogously the vertex in Eq.(2.82) is in the picture $-1/2$. Vertex operators in different pictures are related through the picture changing procedure that we are now going to describe shortly. Starting from a BRST invariant vertex $\mathcal{W}_t$ in the picture t (characterized by a value of P equal to t), where t is integer (half-integer) in the NS (R) sector, one can construct another BRST invariant vertex operator $\mathcal{W}_{t+1}$ in the picture $t+1$ through the following operation [5]

$$\mathcal{W}_{t+1}(w) = [Q, 2\xi(w)\mathcal{W}_t(w)]_\eta = \oint_w \frac{dz}{2\pi i} J_{BRST}(z) 2\xi(w)\mathcal{W}_t(w) \quad (2.84)$$

Using the Jacobi identity and the fact that $Q^2 = 0$ one can easily show that the vertex $\mathcal{W}_{t+1}(w)$ is BRST invariant:

$$[Q, \mathcal{W}_{t+1}]_\eta = 0 \quad (2.85)$$

On the other hand the vertex $\mathcal{W}_{t+1}(w)$ obtained through the construction in Eq.(2.84) is not BRST trivial because the corresponding state contains the zero mode ξ_0 that is not contained in the Hilbert space of the $\beta\gamma$ -system as it can be seen by looking at the expressions of β and γ in terms of ξ given in Eq. (2.74).

In conclusion all the vertices constructed through the procedure given in Eq.(2.84) are BRST invariant and non trivial in the sense that all give a non-vanishing result when inserted for instance in a tree-diagram correlator provided that the total picture number is equal to -2 (see [2] for more details). Using the picture changing procedure from the vertex operator in Eq.(2.77) we can construct the vertex operator in the 0 superghost picture which is given by [6]

$$\mathcal{W}_0(z) = c(z)\mathcal{V}_1(z) - \frac{1}{2}\gamma(z)\mathcal{V}_0(z) \quad (2.86)$$

with

$$\mathcal{V}_0(z) = \epsilon \cdot \psi(z) e^{i\sqrt{2\alpha'}k \cdot X(z)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}_1(z) = (\epsilon \cdot \partial X(z) + i\sqrt{2\alpha'}k \cdot \psi \epsilon \cdot \psi) e^{i\sqrt{2\alpha'}k \cdot X(z)} \quad (2.87)$$

Analogously starting from the massless vertex in the R sector in Eq.(2.82) one can construct the corresponding vertex in an arbitrary superghost picture t .

In the closed string case the vertex operators are given by the product of two vertex operators of the open string. Thus for the massless NS-NS sector in the superghost picture $(-1, -1)$ we have

$$\mathcal{W}_{(-1,-1)} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{V}_{-1}^\mu(k/2, z) \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{-1}^\nu(k/2, \bar{z}) \quad (2.88)$$

where $\mathcal{V}_{-1}^\mu(k/2, z) = c(z)\psi^\mu(z)e^{-\varphi(z)}e^{i\frac{\sqrt{2\alpha'}k}{2} \cdot X(z)}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{-1}^\nu$ is equal to an analogous expression in terms of the tilded modes. This vertex is BRST invariant if $k^2 = 0$ and $\epsilon_{\mu\nu}k^\nu = k^\mu\epsilon_{\mu\nu} = 0$.

In the R-R sector the vertex operator for massless states in the $(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$ superghost picture is

$$\mathcal{W}_{(-1/2,-1/2)} = \frac{(C\Gamma^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{m+1}})_{AB} F_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{m+1}}}{2\sqrt{2}(m+1)!} \mathcal{V}_{-1/2}^A(k/2, z) \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{-1/2}^B(k/2, \bar{z}) \quad (2.89)$$

where $\mathcal{V}_{-1/2}^A(k/2, z) = c(z)S^A(z)e^{-\frac{1}{2}\varphi(z)}e^{i\frac{\sqrt{2\alpha'}k}{2} \cdot X(z)}$ and

$$F_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{m+1}} = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{2^5} u_D(k) (\Gamma_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{m+1}} C^{-1})^{DE} \tilde{u}_E(k) \quad (2.90)$$

It is BRST invariant if $k^2 = 0$ and $F_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_m}$ is a field strength satisfying both the Maxwell equation ($dF = 0$) and the Bianchi identity ($d^*F = 0$).

For future purposes it is useful to give also the vertex operator of a physical R-R state in the asymmetric picture $(-1/2, -3/2)$. Indicating with $A_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_m}$ the gauge potential corresponding to the field strength $F_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{m+1}}$, this vertex is given by [7]:

$$\mathcal{W}_{(-1/2, -3/2)} = \sum_{M=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_M}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(C\mathcal{A}^{(m)} \Pi_M \right)_{AB} \mathcal{V}_{-1/2+M}^A(k/2, z) \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{-3/2-M}^B(k/2, \bar{z}) \quad (2.91)$$

where

$$\left(C\mathcal{A}^{(m)} \right)_{AB} = \frac{(C\Gamma^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_m})}{m!} A_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_m} \quad , \quad \Pi_q = \frac{1 + (-1)^q \Gamma_{11}}{2} \quad (2.92)$$

and

$$\mathcal{V}_{-1/2+M}^A(k/2, z) = \partial^{M-1} \eta(z) \dots \eta(z) c(z) S^A(z) e^{(-\frac{1}{2}+M)\varphi(z)} e^{i\frac{\sqrt{2\alpha'}k}{2} \cdot X(z)} \quad (2.93)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{-3/2-M}^B(k/2, \bar{z}) = \bar{\partial}^M \tilde{\xi}(\bar{z}) \dots \tilde{\xi}(\bar{z}) \tilde{c}(\bar{z}) \tilde{S}^B(\bar{z}) e^{(-\frac{3}{2}-M)\tilde{\varphi}(\bar{z})} e^{i\frac{\sqrt{2\alpha'}k}{2} \cdot \tilde{X}(\bar{z})} \quad (2.94)$$

It can be shown that the vertex operator in Eq.(2.91) is BRST invariant if $k^2 = 0$ and the following two conditions are satisfied

$$a_M = \frac{(-1)^{M(M+1)}}{[M!(M-1)! \dots 1]^2} \quad , \quad d^* A^{(m)} = 0 \quad . \quad (2.95)$$

By acting with the picture changing operator on the vertex in Eq.(2.91) it can be shown that one obtains the vertex in the symmetric picture in Eq.(2.89). In particular one can show that only the first term in the sum in Eq.(2.91) reproduces the symmetric vertex, while all the other terms give BRST trivial contributions. Notice that by changing the superghost picture of the vertex operator one also changes the physical content of the specific vertex. Indeed while the vertex operator in the symmetric picture is proportional to the field strength, the one in the asymmetric picture depends on the potential. Obviously the picture changing procedure does not affect the amplitudes, which always depend on the field strength.

Having constructed the BRST invariant vertex operators we can use them to compute scattering amplitudes between string states. In order to get a non-vanishing result, we must use three BRST-invariant vertices of the form $c(z)V(z)$ ($V(z)$ is a primary field with conformal dimension equal to 1 that does not contain the ghosts b and c) corresponding to the states for which we fix the corresponding Koba-Nielsen variables to 0, 1 and ∞ and $(N-3)$ vertices without the factor $c(z)$. The last ones are also BRST-invariant because we integrate over the corresponding Koba-Nielsen variable. In this way the product of the N vertices has ghost number equal to 3 and when it is taken between the BRST and projective-invariant vacuum characterized by ghost number $q = 0$ we get a non-zero result. Moreover one must also require the product of the N vertex operator to have superghost number equal to -2 .

As an example let us calculate the amplitude among three gluon states with momentum k_i and polarization ϵ_i at tree level in perturbation theory. This is given by [8]

$$A^0(\epsilon_1, k_1; \epsilon_2, k_2; \epsilon_3, k_3) = C_0 (iN_{\text{op}})^3 \text{Tr}(\lambda^{a_1} \lambda^{a_2} \lambda^{a_3}) \langle W_{-1}(z_1) W_{-1}(z_2) W_0(z_3) \rangle \quad (2.96)$$

where the normalization of the tree level amplitude and of the states in d dimensions are

$$C_0 = \frac{1}{g_{\text{op}}^2 (2\alpha')^{\frac{d}{2}}} \quad N_{\text{op}} = 2g_{\text{op}} (2\alpha')^{\frac{d-2}{4}} \quad , \quad (2.97)$$

the matrices λ are the generators of the gauge group $SU(N)$ in the fundamental representation, normalized as

$$\text{Tr}(\lambda^a \lambda^b) = \frac{\delta^{ab}}{2} \quad (2.98)$$

and finally W_{-1} is the gluon vertex in the superghost picture -1 given in Eq.(2.77) and W_0 is the one in the picture 0 defined in Eq.(2.86). Let us evaluate the correlator

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W_{-1}(z_1)W_{-1}(z_2)W_0(z_3) \rangle &= \epsilon_\mu^1 \epsilon_\nu^2 \epsilon_\rho^3 \langle c(z_1)c(z_2)c(z_3) \rangle \langle e^{-\phi(z_1)} e^{-\phi(z_2)} \rangle \times \\ &\left[\langle \psi^\mu(z_1)\psi^\nu(z_2) \rangle \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^3 e^{ik_i \cdot X(z_i)} \partial X^\rho(z_3) \right\rangle + \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^3 e^{ik_i \cdot X(z_i)} \right\rangle \langle \psi^\mu(z_1)\psi^\nu(z_2) i\sqrt{2\alpha'} k_3 \cdot \psi(z_3) \psi^\rho(z_3) \rangle \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.99)$$

Using the correlators [5]

$$\langle e^{i\sqrt{2\alpha'} k_i \cdot X(z_i)} e^{i\sqrt{2\alpha'} k_j \cdot X(z_j)} \rangle = (z_{ij})^{2\alpha' k_i \cdot k_j} \quad (2.100)$$

$$\langle e^{i\sqrt{2\alpha'} k_i \cdot X(z_i)} \partial X^\mu(z_j) \rangle = \frac{i\sqrt{2\alpha'} k_i^\mu}{z_{ij}} \quad \langle \psi^\mu(z_i) \psi^\nu(z_j) \rangle = -\frac{\eta^{\mu\nu}}{z_{ij}} \quad (2.101)$$

$$\langle c(z_i)c(z_j)c(z_k) \rangle = z_{ij}z_{ik}z_{jk} \quad , \quad \langle e^{a\phi(z_i)} e^{b\phi(z_j)} \rangle = \frac{1}{(z_{ij})^{ab}} \quad (2.102)$$

with $z_{ij} = (z_i - z_j)$, Eq. (2.99) becomes

$$\langle W_{-1}(z_1)W_{-1}(z_2)W_0(z_3) \rangle = \sqrt{2\alpha'} \epsilon_\mu^1 \epsilon_\nu^2 \epsilon_\rho^3 z_{23} z_{13} \left\{ -\frac{\eta^{\mu\nu}}{z_{12}} \left[\frac{k_1^\rho}{z_{13}} + \frac{k_2^\rho}{z_{23}} \right] + \frac{k_3^\nu \eta^{\mu\rho} - k_3^\mu \eta^{\nu\rho}}{z_{13} z_{23}} \right\} \quad (2.103)$$

where we have used the on-shell condition to eliminate the factors $(z_{ij})^{2\alpha' k_i \cdot k_j}$. Substituting Eqs (2.97) and (2.103) in Eq.(2.96), using momentum conservation and transversality one gets:

$$A^0(\epsilon_1, k_1; \epsilon_2, k_2; \epsilon_3, k_3) = 8g_{\text{op}}(2\alpha')^{\frac{d-4}{4}} \text{Tr}(\lambda^{a_1} \lambda^{a_2} \lambda^{a_3}) (\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 k_1 \cdot \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_3 \cdot \epsilon_1 k_3 \cdot \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3 k_2 \cdot \epsilon_1) \quad (2.104)$$

In order to compare this result with the field theory 3-gluon amplitude we need to add to the previous expression corresponding to the permutation $(1, 2, 3)$ also the contribution of the anticyclic permutation $(3, 2, 1)$ that can be easily obtained from eq.(2.104). In so doing one gets

$$A^0(\epsilon_1, k_1; \epsilon_2, k_2; \epsilon_3, k_3) = 4ig_{\text{op}}(2\alpha')^{\frac{d-4}{4}} f^{a_1 a_2 a_3} (\epsilon_1 \cdot \epsilon_2 k_1 \cdot \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_3 \cdot \epsilon_1 k_3 \cdot \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_2 \cdot \epsilon_3 k_2 \cdot \epsilon_1) \quad (2.105)$$

where we have used that $\text{Tr}([\lambda^{a_1} \lambda^{a_2}] \lambda^{a_3}) = \frac{i}{2} f^{a_1 a_2 a_3}$. Comparing Eq.(2.105) with the 3-gluon scattering amplitude in d dimension, we get the following relation between the d -dimensional gauge coupling constant and the string parameters g_{op} and α'

$$g_d = 2g_{\text{op}}(2\alpha')^{\frac{d-4}{4}} \quad (2.106)$$

3 Classical p -brane: the closed string perspective

At semiclassical level, in addition to strings, closed string theories naturally contain other extended objects that are called p branes which are solutions of the low energy string effective action. They act as sources of the massless R-R closed string fields and saturate the BPS bound between mass and charge. In order to see how they appear in the theory, let us briefly discuss the low energy limit of string theory. The low energy effective action of type IIB string theory is given by the so-called type IIB supergravity. Its bosonic part in the Einstein frame is given by: ³

³ Our conventions for curved indices and forms are the following: $\epsilon^{0\dots 9} = +1$; signature $(-, +^9)$; $\mu, \nu = 0, \dots, 9$; $\alpha, \beta = 0, \dots, 3$; $i, j = 4, 5$; $\ell, m = 6, \dots, 9$; $\omega_{(n)} = \frac{1}{n!} \omega_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} dx^{\mu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\mu_n}$, and $*\omega_{(n)} = \frac{\sqrt{-\det G}}{n!(10-n)!} \varepsilon_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{10-n} \mu_1 \dots \mu_n} \omega^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} dx^{\nu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{\nu_{10-n}}$.

$$S_{\text{IIB}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \left\{ \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-\det G} R - \frac{1}{2} \int \left[d\phi \wedge {}^*d\phi + e^{-\phi} H_3 \wedge {}^*H_3 + e^{2\phi} F_1 \wedge {}^*F_1 \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + e^{\phi} \tilde{F}_3 \wedge {}^*\tilde{F}_3 + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{F}_5 \wedge {}^*\tilde{F}_5 - C_4 \wedge H_3 \wedge F_3 \right] \right\} \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$H_3 = dB_2, \quad F_1 = dC_0, \quad F_3 = dC_2, \quad F_5 = dC_4 \quad (3.2)$$

are, respectively, the field strengths of the NS-NS 2-form and of the 0-, 2- and 4-form potentials of the R-R sector and

$$\tilde{F}_3 = F_3 + C_0 \wedge H_3, \quad \tilde{F}_5 = F_5 + C_2 \wedge H_3. \quad (3.3)$$

Moreover, $2\kappa^2 \equiv 16\pi G_N^{10} = (2\pi)^7 g_s^2 \alpha'^4$ where g_s is the string coupling constant, and the self-duality constraint ${}^*\tilde{F}_5 = \tilde{F}_5$ has to be implemented on shell.

The low energy type IIA string effective action, corresponding to type IIA supergravity has, in our conventions, the following expression:

$$S_{\text{IIA}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \left\{ \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-G} R + \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2} \int \left(d\phi \wedge {}^*d\phi + e^{-\phi} H_3 \wedge {}^*H_3 - e^{3\phi/2} F_2 \wedge {}^*F_2 - e^{\phi/2} \tilde{F}_4 \wedge {}^*\tilde{F}_4 + B_2 \wedge F_4 \wedge F_4 \right) \right\}, \quad (3.4)$$

where we have considered only the bosonic degrees of freedom. The field strengths appearing in the last equation are given by:

$$H_3 = dB_2, \quad F_2 = dC_1, \quad F_4 = dC_3, \quad \tilde{F}_4 = F_4 - C_1 \wedge H_3, \quad (3.5)$$

and $2\kappa^2 = (2\pi)^7 g_s^2 \alpha'^4$.

The previous supergravity actions have been written in the so-called Einstein frame. It is also useful to give their expression in a different frame which is called the string frame, the two being related by the following rescaling of the metric:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = e^{(\phi-\phi_0)/2} G_{\mu\nu}, \quad \text{with} \quad e^{\phi_0} = g_s \quad (3.6)$$

where with $g_{\mu\nu}$ we have indicated the string frame metric. In general under a rescaling of the metric

$$\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = e^{-2s\gamma(x)} g_{\mu\nu} \quad (3.7)$$

the various terms that appear in the supergravity Lagrangian are modified as follows:

$$\sqrt{-\hat{g}} = e^{-sd\gamma(x)} \sqrt{-g} \quad (3.8)$$

$$\hat{R} = e^{2s\gamma(x)} \left[R + 2s(d-1) \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu (\sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \gamma(x)) - s^2(d-1)(d-2) g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \gamma(x) \partial_\nu \gamma(x) \right] \quad (3.9)$$

$$e^{-2a\gamma(x)} \sqrt{-\hat{g}} \left[\hat{R} - b \hat{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \gamma \partial_\nu \gamma \right] = e^{-[2a+s(d-2)]\gamma(x)} \times \\ \times \sqrt{-g} \left\{ R + [s^2(d-1)(d-2) + 4as(d-1) - b] g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \gamma \partial_\nu \gamma \right\} \quad (3.10)$$

$$- \frac{\sqrt{-\hat{g}}}{2n!} e^{a_n \gamma(x)} \hat{g}^{\mu_1 \nu_1} \dots \hat{g}^{\mu_n \nu_n} H_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} H_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_n} = \\ = - \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{2n!} e^{[a_n - (d-2n)s]\gamma(x)} g^{\mu_1 \nu_1} \dots g^{\mu_n \nu_n} H_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} H_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_n} \quad (3.11)$$

where for the sake of generality we have kept the dimension of the space-time d arbitrary.

Applying the previous formulas in Eq. (3.1) we get the purely bosonic part of the IIB super-gravity action in the string frame:

$$S_{IIB} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \left\{ \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-g} e^{-2(\phi-\phi_0)} \left[R + 4g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - \frac{1}{12} H_{\mu\nu\rho} H^{\mu\nu\rho} \right] + \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2} \int \left[\tilde{F}_3 \wedge * \tilde{F}_3 + F_1 * \wedge F_1 + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{F}_5 \wedge * \tilde{F}_5 - C_4 \wedge H_3 \wedge F_3 \right] \right\} \quad (3.12)$$

while for the type IIA effective action in the string frame one gets:

$$S_{IIA} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \left\{ \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-g} e^{-2(\phi-\phi_0)} \left[R + 4g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - \frac{1}{12} H_{\mu\nu\rho} H^{\mu\nu\rho} \right] + \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{12} \int \left[\tilde{F}_4 \wedge * \tilde{F}_4 + F_2 * \wedge F_2 + - B_2 \wedge F_4 \wedge F_4 \right] \right\} \quad (3.13)$$

The classical equations of motion derived from the previous low-energy actions admit solutions corresponding to p -dimensional objects called p -brane. In the following we want just to remind their main properties. The starting point is either Eq.(3.1) or (3.4) in which we neglect the NS-NS two-form potential and we keep only one R-R field, namely:

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^d x \sqrt{-g} \left[R - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2(n+1)!} e^{-a\phi} (F_{p+1})^2 \right] , \quad (3.14)$$

where we have indicated with d the space-time dimension. The p brane solution is obtained by making the following ansatz for the metric:

$$ds^2 = [H(r)]^{2A} (\eta_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta) + [H(r)]^{2B} (\delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j) , \quad (3.15)$$

with $\alpha, \beta \in \{0, \dots, p\}$, $i, j \in \{p+1, \dots, d-1\}$, and the ansatz

$$e^{-\phi(x)} = [H(r)]^\tau , \quad C_{01\dots p}(x) = \pm [H(r)]^{-1} , \quad (3.16)$$

for the dilaton ϕ and for the R-R $(p+1)$ -form potential $\mathcal{C}$ respectively. $H(r)$ is assumed to be only a function of the square of the transverse coordinates $r = x_\perp^2 = x_i x^i$. If the parameters are chosen as

$$A = -\frac{d-p-3}{2(d-2)} , \quad B = \frac{p+1}{2(d-2)} , \quad \tau = \frac{a}{2} , \quad (3.17)$$

with a obeying the equation

$$\frac{2(p+1)(d-p-3)}{d-2} + a^2 = 4 , \quad (3.18)$$

then the function $H(r)$ satisfies the flat space Laplace equation. A BPS solution corresponding to a p dimensional extended object, namely an extremal p -brane solution, is constructed by introducing in the right hand side of the Eqs of motion, following from the action (3.14), a δ -function source term in the transverse directions which can be obtained from the following boundary action:

$$S_{bound} = -\tau_p \int d^{p+1} \xi e^{a\phi/2} \sqrt{-\det G_{\alpha\beta}} + \tau_p \int C_{p+1} \quad (3.19)$$

where the constant a in $d=10$ is given by:

$$a = \frac{p-3}{2} \quad (3.20)$$

If we restrict ourselves to the simplest case of just one p -brane, we obtain for $H(r)$

$$H(r) = 1 + 2\kappa T_p G(r) \quad , \quad (3.21)$$

where

$$G(r) = \begin{cases} [(d-p-3)r^{(d-p-3)}\Omega_{d-p-2}]^{-1} & p < d-3 \quad , \\ -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log r & p = d-3 \quad , \end{cases} \quad (3.22)$$

with

$$\Omega_q = (2\pi)^{(q+1)/2} / \Gamma((q+1)/2) \quad (3.23)$$

being the area of a unit q -dimensional sphere S_q . For future use it is convenient to introduce the quantity:

$$Q_p = \mu_p \frac{\sqrt{2}\kappa}{(d-p-3)\Omega_{d-p-2}} \quad ; \quad \mu_p \equiv \sqrt{2}T_p \quad ; \quad \tau_p = \frac{\mu_p}{\sqrt{2}\kappa}. \quad (3.24)$$

and (if $p < d-3$) to rewrite $H(r)$ in Eq.(3.21) as follows:

$$H(x) = 1 + \frac{Q_p}{r^{d-3-p}} \quad , \quad (3.25)$$

The classical solution has a mass per unit p -volume, M_p and an electric charge with respect to the R-R field, μ_p , given respectively by

$$M_p = \frac{T_p}{\kappa} \quad , \quad \mu_p = \pm \sqrt{2}T_p \quad . \quad (3.26)$$

4 Dp branes: the open string perspective

The p -branes solutions of the low-energy string effective actions discussed in the previous section have a complementary description, in the open string framework, as Dp branes that is as hyperplanes on which open string attach their endpoints. The existence of such hyperplanes is required by the extension of T-duality, which is a symmetry of (bosonic) closed string theory, to the open string case. The fundamental observation made by Polchinski [9] has been to identify the Dp branes appearing in open string theory with the p -branes solutions of the supergravity Eq.s of motion. Let us briefly review how T-duality enforces the existence of Dp branes (for a detailed discussion see [2]). T-duality is the transformation that interchanges the winding states with the Kaluza-Klein states appearing in the closed string spectrum when the theory is compactified on a torus. It turns out that the bosonic closed string theory is invariant under T-duality, while in the supersymmetric case, this transformation is in general not a symmetry but brings from a certain string theory to another string theory.

Let us first discuss the bosonic case. When one space coordinate is compactified on a circle of radius R , the bosonic closed string mass operator acquires the following form

$$M^2 = \frac{2}{\alpha'} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_{-n} \cdot \alpha_n + \tilde{\alpha}_{-n} \cdot \tilde{\alpha}_n) - 2 \right] + \left(\frac{n}{R} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{wR}{\alpha'} \right)^2 \quad . \quad (4.1)$$

from which we see that the spectrum of the closed string has been enriched with respect to the non-compact case by the appearance of two kinds of particles: the usual K-K modes - coming from the standard quantization of the momentum conjugate to the compact direction - which contribute to the energy as $\frac{n}{R}$, together with some new excitations that are called winding modes because they can be thought of as generated by the winding of the closed string around the compact direction, which in fact contributes to the energy of the system as

$$T \, 2\pi R w = \frac{wR}{\alpha'} \quad , \quad (4.2)$$

where $T = 1/(2\pi\alpha')$ is the string tension. All previous formulas can be trivially generalized to the case of a toroidal compactified theory in which a number of coordinates X^ℓ are compactified on circles with radii $R^{(\ell)}$.

From Eq.(4.1) we see that the spectrum of the theory is invariant under the exchange of KK modes with winding modes together with an inversion of the radius of compactification:

$$w \leftrightarrow n \quad ; \quad R \leftrightarrow \hat{R} \equiv \frac{\alpha'}{R} . \quad (4.3)$$

This is called a T-duality transformation and $\hat{R}$ is the compactification radius of the T-dual theory. It can also be shown that both the partition function and the correlators are invariant under T-duality. This means that T-duality is a symmetry of the bosonic closed string theory. As a consequence, whenever we have to consider compactified theories, we can limit ourselves to the case $R \geq \sqrt{\alpha'}$. That is the reason why $\sqrt{\alpha'}$ is often called the minimal length of string theory.

Consistently with Eq.(4.1) one can also define the action of T-duality on the string coordinate X as follows (see [2] for details)

$$X_- \rightarrow X_- \quad X_+ \rightarrow -X_+ \quad (4.4)$$

where we have written

$$X = \frac{1}{2} (X_- + X_+) , \quad (4.5)$$

with

$$X_- = q + 2\sqrt{2\alpha'}(\tau - \sigma)\alpha_0 + i\sqrt{2\alpha'} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\alpha_n}{n} e^{-2in(\tau - \sigma)} , \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$X_+ = q + 2\sqrt{2\alpha'}(\tau + \sigma)\tilde{\alpha}_0 + i\sqrt{2\alpha'} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_n}{n} e^{-2in(\tau + \sigma)} , \quad (4.7)$$

Therefore the T-duality transformation acts on the right sector as a parity operator changing sign of the right moving coordinate X_+ and leaving unchanged the left moving one X_- .

In an open string theory, even in its compactified version, there are only K-K modes, while the winding modes are absent. This could suggest that T-duality is not a symmetry of the open string theory. Such a conclusion, however, is not satisfactory because theories with open strings also contain closed strings! Therefore if $d - p - 1$ directions are compactified on circles with radii R^ℓ , performing the limits $R^\ell \rightarrow 0$ one would end with open strings living in a $p + 1$ -dimensional subspace of the entire space-time, and closed strings in the entire d -dimensional target space. Indeed in that limit the open string sector would keep no trace of the compact dimensions, losing effectively $d - p - 1$ directions, because all the K-K modes become infinitely massive and decouple from the spectrum. Instead in the closed sector, even if the K-K modes decouple, the winding modes would not disappear giving a continuum of states and, through a T-duality transformation, one could completely restore all the d space-time dimensions, as a consequence of the fact that in the limit $R^\ell \rightarrow 0$ the T-dual radii go to infinity. This mismatch can be solved by requiring that, in the T-dual picture, open string still can oscillate in d dimensions, while their endpoints are fixed on a $p + 1$ -dimensional hyperplane that we call Dp brane. In this scenario open strings satisfy Dirichlet boundary conditions in the $d - p - 1$ transverse directions. These are allowed boundary conditions, as we have already seen in Eq.(2.8), although they destroy the Poincarè invariance of the theory. In conclusion, in order to avoid a different behavior between the closed and the open sector of string theory, we must require the action of T-duality on an open string theory to be that of transforming Neumann boundary conditions into Dirichlet ones. This can, in fact, be very naturally obtained if we extend the definition of the T-dual coordinate given in Eq.(4.4) to the open string case. In this way we obtain the following T-dual open string coordinate:

$$\hat{X}^\ell = \frac{1}{2} [X_-^\ell - X_+^\ell] , \quad (4.8)$$

where now the left and right movers contain the same set of oscillators

$$X_-^\ell = q^\ell + c^\ell + \sqrt{2\alpha'}(\tau - \sigma)\alpha_0^\ell + i\sqrt{2\alpha'} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\alpha_n^\ell}{n} e^{-in(\tau - \sigma)} , \quad (4.9)$$

and

$$X_+^\ell = q^\ell - c^\ell + \sqrt{2\alpha'}(\tau + \sigma)\alpha_0^\ell + i\sqrt{2\alpha'} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\alpha_n^\ell}{n} e^{-in(\tau + \sigma)} , \quad (4.10)$$

From Eq.s (4.8), (4.9) and (4.10) one can immediately see that T-duality has transformed a string coordinate satisfying Neumann boundary conditions and given by $1/2 [X_-^\ell + X_+^\ell]$ into a T-dual one satisfying Dirichlet boundary conditions and given by Eq.(4.8).

In superstring theory the effect of T-duality on the bosonic coordinates is exactly the same as discussed for the bosonic string, namely T-duality acts as a parity transformation over the tilded sector, while for the fermionic coordinates the transformations under T-duality can be fixed by requiring the superconformal invariance of the theory which imposes

$$\psi_+ \rightarrow -\psi_+ \quad ; \quad \psi_- \rightarrow \psi_- , \quad (4.11)$$

or in terms of the oscillators

$$\tilde{\psi}_t \rightarrow -\tilde{\psi}_t \quad ; \quad \psi_t \rightarrow \psi_t , \quad (4.12)$$

We end this section by giving the spectrum of open superstrings having their end-points attached to a Dp-brane. This is given by the following formula:

$$\alpha' k_{||}^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n^\dagger \cdot a_n + \sum_t t \psi_t^\dagger \cdot \psi_t - a = 0 \quad (4.13)$$

where $a = \frac{1}{2}[0]$ in the NS [R] sector and $k_{||}$ is the momentum of the string parallel to the brane. In particular the massless states in the NS sector are given by $(\psi_{-1/2}^\alpha, \psi_{-1/2}^i)|0, k\rangle$ corresponding to a gauge boson A_α and to $(9 - p)$ Higgs scalars Φ^i related to the translational modes of the brane along the directions transverse to its world-volume. These gauge and scalar fields living on the world-volume of a Dp-brane become non-abelian transforming all of them according to the adjoint representation of the gauge group if instead of a single Dp-brane we have a bunch of N coincident Dp-branes. In this case in fact we get N^2 massless states corresponding to the fact that the open strings can have their end-points on each of the N branes.

5 Boundary State

The interaction between two Dp branes is given by the vacuum fluctuation of an open string stretching between them. This is similar to what happens in the Casimir effect, where the interaction between two superconducting plates is obtained by computing the vacuum fluctuation of the electromagnetic field, due to the presence of the boundary plates. Thus Dp brane interaction is simply given by the one-loop open string "free-energy" which is usually represented by the annulus. Furthermore the same interaction admits a complementary description in the closed string language. Indeed, by exchanging the variables σ and τ , the one-loop open string amplitude can also be viewed as a tree diagram of a closed string created from the vacuum, propagating for a while and then annihilating again into the vacuum. These two equivalent descriptions of the same diagram are called respectively the 'open-channel' and the 'closed-channel' and the relation between the two description is called open/closed string duality. We want to stress that the physical content of the two descriptions is a priori completely different. In the first case we describe the interaction between two Dp branes as a one-loop amplitude of open strings, which is the amplitude of a quantum theory of open strings, while in the second case we describe the

same interaction as a tree-level amplitude of closed strings, which is instead a classical amplitude in a theory of closed strings. The fact that these two descriptions are equivalent is a consequence of the conformal symmetry of string theory that allows one to connect the two apriori different descriptions.

To show that, let us consider a one-loop diagram with an open string circulating in it and stretching between two parallel Dp branes with coordinates respectively $(y^{p+1}, \dots, y^{d-1})$ and $(w^{p+1}, \dots, w^{d-1})$. The open string satisfies Neumann boundary conditions along the directions longitudinal to the branes both at $\sigma = 0$ and $\sigma = \pi$

$$\partial_\sigma X^\alpha|_{\sigma=0,\pi} = 0 \quad \alpha = 0, 1, \dots, p, \quad (5.1)$$

while along the transverse directions one has

$$X^i|_{\sigma=0} = y^i \quad X^i|_{\sigma=\pi} = w^i \quad i = p+1, \dots, d-1, \quad (5.2)$$

where we take σ and τ in the two intervals $\sigma \in [0, \pi]$ and $\tau \in [0, T]$. There is a conformal transformation acting on the previous open string boundary conditions which transforms them into the boundary conditions for a closed string propagating between the two Dp branes. In terms of the complex coordinate $\zeta \equiv \sigma + i\tau$, this transformation reads

$$\zeta = \sigma + i\tau \rightarrow -i\zeta = \tau - i\sigma, \quad (5.3)$$

or equivalently

$$(\sigma, \tau) \rightarrow (\tau, -\sigma). \quad (5.4)$$

In order to have the closed string variables σ and τ to vary in the intervals $\sigma \in [0, \pi]$ and $\tau \in [0, \hat{T}]$ one can exploit conformal invariance, once more, performing the following rescaling

$$\sigma \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{\hat{T}}\sigma \quad \tau \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{\hat{T}}\tau, \quad (5.5)$$

where we have defined

$$\hat{T} = -\pi^2/T. \quad (5.6)$$

From the previous equations it follows that *a loop of an open string propagating through the proper time T is conformally equivalent to a tree-level amplitude of a closed string which propagates through the proper time $\hat{T} \sim 1/T$.*

In the closed string channel we need to construct the two boundary states $|B_X\rangle$ that describe the two Dp branes respectively at $\tau = 0$ and $\tau = \hat{T}$. The equations that characterize these states are obtained by applying the conformal transformation previously constructed to the boundary conditions for the open string given in Eq.s (5.1) and (5.2). At $\tau = 0$ we get the following conditions:

$$\partial_\tau X^\alpha|_{\tau=0}|B_X\rangle = 0 \quad \alpha = 0, \dots, p, \quad (5.7)$$

$$X^i|_{\tau=0}|B_X\rangle = y^i \quad i = p+1, \dots, d-1. \quad (5.8)$$

Analogous conditions can be obtained for the Dp brane at $\tau = \hat{T}$.

The previous equations can be easily written in terms of the closed string oscillators by making use of the expansion in Eq.(2.11), obtaining

$$(\alpha_n^\alpha + \tilde{\alpha}_{-n}^\alpha)|B_X\rangle = 0 \quad ; \quad (\alpha_n^i - \tilde{\alpha}_{-n}^i)|B_X\rangle = 0 \quad \forall n \neq 0 \quad \hat{p}^\alpha|B_X\rangle = 0 \quad (\hat{q}^i - y^i)|B_X\rangle = 0. \quad (5.9)$$

Introducing the matrix

$$S^{\mu\nu} = (\eta^{\alpha\beta}, -\delta^{ij}), \quad (5.10)$$

it is easy to see that the state satisfying the previous equations is

$$|B_X\rangle = \frac{T_p}{2} \delta^{d-p-1} (\hat{q}^i - y^i) \left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{n} \alpha_{-n} S \cdot \tilde{\alpha}_{-n}} \right) |0\rangle_{\alpha} |0\rangle_{\tilde{\alpha}} |p=0\rangle, \quad (5.11)$$

where

$$T_p = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2^{\frac{d-10}{4}}} (2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^{\frac{d}{2}-2-p}. \quad (5.12)$$

The normalization of the boundary state $T_p/2$ can be fixed by computing the interaction between two parallel Dp branes both in the open and in the closed string channel and comparing the two results. See Ref. [2] for details.

In the superstring case, together with the bosonic boundary state $|B_X\rangle$ one also has a fermionic component $|B_{\psi}\rangle$ which can be constructed by performing the conformal transformation, which brings from the open to the closed channel, on the boundary conditions for an open superstring stretching between two Dp branes.

In Eq. (2.16) we have given the fermionic boundary conditions of an open superstring corresponding to the case in which the bosonic degrees of freedom satisfy Neumann boundary conditions in all directions. If the bosonic coordinate satisfies Dirichlet boundary conditions in some of the directions, those boundary conditions are changed as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \psi_{-}^{\mu}(0, \tau) = \eta_1 S^{\mu}_{\nu} \psi_{+}^{\nu}(0, \tau) \\ \psi_{-}^{\mu}(\pi, \tau) = \eta_2 S^{\mu}_{\nu} \psi_{+}^{\nu}(\pi, \tau) \end{cases} \quad (5.13)$$

where the matrix S has been defined in Eq.(5.10). This can be easily understood using T-duality. Indeed T-duality transforms Neumann into Dirichlet boundary conditions for the bosonic coordinate and, as discussed in sect. 4, changes the sign of the fermionic coordinate in the right sector leaving that of the left sector unchanged. Moreover we must also give the periodicity or anti periodicity conditions for the fermionic degrees of freedom in going around the loop. These are chosen to be

$$\begin{cases} \psi_{-}(\sigma, 0) = \eta_3 \psi_{-}(\sigma, T) \\ \psi_{+}(\sigma, 0) = \eta_4 \psi_{+}(\sigma, T) \end{cases} \quad (5.14)$$

where η_3 and η_4 can take the values ± 1 . From the boundary conditions in Eq.s (5.13) and (5.14) we get

$$\psi_{-}^{\mu}(0, 0) = \eta_1 S^{\mu}_{\nu} \psi_{+}^{\nu}(0, 0) = \eta_1 \eta_4 S^{\mu}_{\nu} \psi_{+}^{\nu}(0, T) \quad (5.15)$$

and

$$\psi_{-}^{\mu}(0, 0) = \eta_3 \psi_{-}^{\mu}(0, T) = \eta_3 \eta_1 S^{\mu}_{\nu} \psi_{+}^{\nu}(0, T) \quad (5.16)$$

The two set of boundary conditions in Eq.s (5.13) and (5.14) must be consistent with each other, thus $\eta_3 = \eta_4$.

In order to pass to the closed string channel, one has to take into account that the right and left fermionic coordinates ψ_{-} and ψ_{+} are two-dimensional conformal fields with conformal weight $h = \frac{1}{2}$ with respect to the variables ζ and $\bar{\zeta}$ respectively and then, under the conformal transformation (5.3), they transform as

$$\psi_{-}(\zeta) \rightarrow \psi'_{-}(\zeta) = (-i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{-}(f(\zeta)) \quad (5.17)$$

and

$$\psi_{+}(\bar{\zeta}) \rightarrow \psi'_{+}(\bar{\zeta}) = (i)^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{+}(\bar{f}(\bar{\zeta})) \quad (5.18)$$

This implies that, performing the previous transformation on Eq.(5.13), there is a relative factor i appearing between the right and left modes, which transforms the boundary conditions (5.13) and (5.14) in

$$\begin{cases} \psi_{-}^{\mu}(0, \sigma) = i \eta_1 S^{\mu}_{\nu} \psi_{+}^{\nu}(0, \sigma) \\ \psi_{-}^{\mu}(\hat{T}, \sigma) = i \eta_2 S^{\mu}_{\nu} \psi_{+}^{\nu}(\hat{T}, \sigma) \end{cases} \quad (5.19)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \psi_-^\mu(0, \tau) = \eta_3 \psi_-^\mu(\pi, \tau) \\ \psi_+^\mu(0, \tau) = \eta_3 \psi_+^\mu(\pi, \tau) \end{cases} \quad (5.20)$$

where we have explicitly put $\eta_4 = \eta_3$. The identity between η_3 and η_4 , implies that the fermionic boundary state has only the R-R and the NS-NS sectors. From the first equation in (5.19), one can derive the overlap Eq.s for the fermionic boundary state:

$$(\psi_-^\mu(0, \sigma) - i\eta S^\mu_\nu \psi_+^\nu(0, \sigma))|B_\psi, \eta\rangle = 0 \quad (5.21)$$

where $\eta = \pm 1$, which, in the case of the NS-NS-sector, are satisfied by

$$|B_\psi, \eta\rangle = -i \prod_{t=1/2}^{\infty} \left(e^{i\eta \psi_{-t} \cdot S \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{-t}} \right) |0\rangle \quad (5.22)$$

In the R-R sector the boundary state has the same form as in the NS-NS sector for what the non-zero modes is concerned, but with integer instead of half-integer modes. We get therefore ⁴

$$|B_\psi, \eta\rangle = - \prod_{t=1}^{\infty} e^{i\eta \psi_{-t} \cdot S \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{-t}} |B_\psi, \eta\rangle^{(0)} \quad (5.23)$$

where the zero mode contribution $|B_\psi, \eta\rangle^{(0)}$ is given by

$$|B_\psi, \eta\rangle^{(0)} = \mathcal{M}_{AB} |A\rangle |\tilde{B}\rangle \quad (5.24)$$

with

$$\mathcal{M}_{AB} = \left(C \Gamma^0 \dots \Gamma^p \frac{1 + i\eta \Gamma^{11}}{1 + i\eta} \right)_{AB} \quad (5.25)$$

C is the charge conjugation matrix and Γ^μ are the Dirac Γ matrices in the 10-dimensional space (see Ref. [10] for some detail about the derivation of Eq.s (5.24) and (5.25)).

The boundary state discussed until now describes only the degrees of freedom corresponding to the string coordinate X and ψ . In order to have a BRST invariant object we have to supplement it with a component describing the ghost and superghosts degrees of freedom. The complete boundary state for both the NS-NS and R-R sectors is given by:

$$|B, \eta\rangle_{R,NS} = |B_{mat}, \eta\rangle |B_g, \eta\rangle \quad (5.26)$$

where

$$|B_{mat}\rangle = |B_X\rangle |B_\psi, \eta\rangle \quad ; \quad |B_g\rangle = |B_{gh}\rangle |B_{sgh}, \eta\rangle \quad (5.27)$$

The matter part of the boundary state consists of the bosonic component given in Eq.(5.11) and of the fermionic one given in Eq.(5.22) for the NS-NS sector and in Eq.(5.23) for the R-R sector. The ghost part $|B_g\rangle$ contains the boundary state corresponding to the ghosts (b, c) and the one corresponding to the superghosts (β, γ). BRST invariance requires that the total boundary state satisfies the equation

$$(Q + \tilde{Q})|B, \eta\rangle = 0 \quad , \quad (5.28)$$

where the BRST charge has been given in Eq.s (2.70)-(2.75). With some algebra one can show that the ghosts and superghosts boundary states satisfying Eq.(5.28) are

$$|B_{gh}\rangle = e^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (c_{-n} \tilde{b}_{-n} - b_{-n} \tilde{c}_{-n})} \left(\frac{c_0 + \tilde{c}_0}{2} \right) |q=1\rangle |\widetilde{q=1}\rangle \quad (5.29)$$

⁴ The unusual phases introduced in Eq.s (5.22) and (5.23) will turn out to be convenient to study the couplings of the massless closed string states with a D-brane and to find the correspondence with the classical D-brane solutions obtained from supergravity. Note that these phases are instead irrelevant when one computes the interactions between two D-branes.

where $|q = 1\rangle$ is the state that is annihilated by the following oscillators

$$c_n|q = 1\rangle = 0 \quad \forall n \geq 1; \quad ; \quad b_m|q = 1\rangle = 0 \quad \forall m \geq 0. \quad (5.30)$$

and

$$|B_{\text{sgh}}, \eta\rangle_{\text{NS}} = \exp\left[i\eta \sum_{t=1/2}^{\infty} (\gamma_{-t}\tilde{\beta}_{-t} - \beta_{-t}\tilde{\gamma}_{-t})\right] |P = -1\rangle |\tilde{P} = -1\rangle, \quad (5.31)$$

in the NS sector in the picture $(-1, -1)$ and

$$|B_{\text{sgh}}, \eta\rangle_{\text{R}} = \exp\left[i\eta \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} (\gamma_{-t}\tilde{\beta}_{-t} - \beta_{-t}\tilde{\gamma}_{-t})\right] |B_{\text{sgh}}, \eta\rangle_{\text{R}}^{(0)}, \quad (5.32)$$

in the R sector in the $(-1/2, -3/2)$ picture. The superscript $^{(0)}$ denotes the zero-mode contribution that, if $|P = -1/2\rangle |\tilde{P} = -3/2\rangle$ denotes the superghost vacuum that is annihilated by β_0 and $\tilde{\gamma}_0$, and is given by [11]

$$|B_{\text{sgh}}, \eta\rangle_{\text{R}}^{(0)} = \exp\left[i\eta\gamma_0\tilde{\beta}_0\right] |P = -1/2\rangle |\tilde{P} = -3/2\rangle. \quad (5.33)$$

We would like to stress that the boundary states $|B\rangle_{\text{NS,R}}$ are written in a definite picture $(P, \tilde{P})$ of the superghost system, where P is given in Eq.(2.83) and $\tilde{P} = -2 - P$ in order to soak up the anomaly in the superghost number. In particular we have chosen $P = -1$ in the NS sector and $P = -1/2$ in the R sector, even if other choices would have been in principle possible [11]. Since P is half-integer in the R sector, the boundary state $|B\rangle_{\text{R}}$ has always $P \neq \tilde{P}$, and thus it can couple only to R-R states in the asymmetric picture $(P, \tilde{P})$. Notice that, as we have seen in section 2 the massless R-R states in the $(-1/2, -3/2)$ picture contain a part that is proportional to the R-R *potentials* [12, 7], as opposed to the standard massless R-R states in the symmetric picture $(-1/2, -1/2)$ that are always proportional to the R-R field strengths. This implies that the coupling of the boundary state with the R-R fields is expressed in terms of the potentials.

The boundary state in Eq.(5.26) depends on the value of η . Actually the GSO projection selects a specific combination of the two values of $\eta = \pm 1$. In the NS-NS sector the GSO projected boundary state is

$$|B\rangle_{\text{NS}} \equiv \frac{1 + (-1)^{F+G}}{2} \frac{1 + (-1)^{\tilde{F}+\tilde{G}}}{2} |B, +\rangle_{\text{NS}}, \quad (5.34)$$

where F and G are the fermion and superghost number operators

$$F = \sum_{m=1/2}^{\infty} \psi_{-m} \cdot \psi_m - 1, \quad G = - \sum_{m=1/2}^{\infty} (\gamma_{-m}\beta_m + \beta_{-m}\gamma_m). \quad (5.35)$$

Their action on the boundary state corresponding to the fermionic coordinate ψ and to the superghosts can easily be computed and one gets:

$$(-1)^F |B_{\psi}, \eta\rangle = -|B_{\psi}, -\eta\rangle \quad ; \quad (-1)^{\tilde{F}} |B_{\psi}, \eta\rangle = -|B_{\psi}, -\eta\rangle \quad (5.36)$$

$$(-1)^G |B_{\text{sgh}}, \eta\rangle = |B_{\text{sgh}}, -\eta\rangle \quad ; \quad (-1)^{\tilde{G}} |B_{\text{sgh}}, \eta\rangle = |B_{\text{sgh}}, -\eta\rangle \quad (5.37)$$

Using the previous expressions after some simple algebra we get

$$|B\rangle_{\text{NS}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(|B, +\rangle_{\text{NS}} - |B, -\rangle_{\text{NS}} \right) \quad (5.38)$$

Passing to the R-R sector the GSO projected boundary state is

$$|B\rangle_{\text{R}} \equiv \frac{1 + (-1)^p (-1)^{F+G}}{2} \frac{1 - (-1)^{\tilde{F}+\tilde{G}}}{2} |B, +\rangle_{\text{R}} \quad . \quad (5.39)$$

where p is even for Type IIA and odd for Type IIB, and

$$(-1)^F = \psi_{11} (-1)^{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \psi_{-m} \cdot \psi_m} \quad , \quad G = -\gamma_0 \beta_0 - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [\gamma_{-m} \beta_m + \beta_{-m} \gamma_m] \quad . \quad (5.40)$$

From the previous expressions it is easy to see after some calculation that the action of the fermion number operators is given by:

$$(-1)^F |B_\psi, \eta\rangle = (-1)^p |B_\psi, -\eta\rangle \quad ; \quad (-1)^{\tilde{F}} |B_\psi, \eta\rangle = |B_\psi, -\eta\rangle \quad (5.41)$$

and

$$(-1)^G |B_{sgh}, \eta\rangle = |B_{sgh}, -\eta\rangle \quad ; \quad (-1)^{\tilde{G}} |B_{sgh}, \eta\rangle = -|B_{sgh}, -\eta\rangle \quad (5.42)$$

Using the previous expressions after some straightforward manipulations, one gets

$$|B\rangle_{\text{R}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(|B, +\rangle_{\text{R}} + |B, -\rangle_{\text{R}} \right) \quad . \quad (5.43)$$

6 Interaction Between Dp branes

In this section we study the static interaction between two Dp branes located at a distance y from each other. Then we will generalize it to the interaction between a Dp and a Dp' brane, with $NN \equiv \min\{p, p'\} + 1$ directions common to the brane world-volumes, $DD \equiv \min\{d-p-1, d-p'-1\}$ directions transverse to both, and $\nu = (d - NN - DD)$ directions of mixed type. We will not consider instantonic D-branes, hence also $NN \geq 1$. The two D-branes simply interact via tree-level exchange of closed strings with propagator

$$D = \frac{\alpha'}{4\pi} \int d^2 z z^{L_0-a} \bar{z}^{\tilde{L}_0-a} \quad (6.1)$$

where $a = 1/2$ (0) in the NS-NS (R-R) sector. Introducing the two boundary states $|B_1\rangle$ and $|B_2\rangle$ describing the two D-branes, the static amplitude is given by

$$A = \langle B_1 | D | B_2 \rangle = \frac{T_p^2}{4} \frac{\alpha'}{4\pi} \int_{|z|<1} \frac{d^2 z}{|z|^2} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}^{(0)} \quad , \quad (6.2)$$

where we have indicated with $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{(0)}$ respectively the non zero mode and the zero mode contribution in which the previous amplitude can be factorized. Starting from the non-zero modes we have to evaluate amplitudes of the form

$$\langle 0 | \langle \tilde{0} | \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(e^{-\frac{1}{m} \alpha_m \cdot S \cdot \tilde{\alpha}_m} \right) z^{N_\alpha} \bar{z}^{\tilde{N}_\alpha} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(e^{-\frac{1}{n} \alpha_{-n} \cdot S \cdot \tilde{\alpha}_{-n}} \right) | 0 \rangle | \tilde{0} \rangle =$$

with

$$N_\alpha \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{-n} \cdot \alpha_n \quad ; \quad \tilde{N}_\alpha \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\alpha}_{-n} \cdot \tilde{\alpha}_n \quad , \quad (6.3)$$

for the bosonic degrees of freedom and

$$\langle 0 | \langle \tilde{0} | \prod_t \left(e^{i \eta_1 \psi_t \cdot S \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t} \right) z^{N_\psi} \bar{z}^{\tilde{N}_\psi} \prod_t \left(e^{-i \eta_2 \psi_{-t} \cdot S \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{-t}} \right) | 0 \rangle | \tilde{0} \rangle$$

with

$$N_\psi \equiv \sum_t t \psi_{-t} \cdot \psi_t \quad ; \quad \tilde{N}_\psi \equiv \sum_t t \tilde{\psi}_{-t} \cdot \tilde{\psi}_t , \quad (6.4)$$

for the fermionic ones, where $t \geq 1/2$ (1) in the NS-NS (R-R) sector. Using that

$$z^{N_\alpha} e^{\alpha_{-n}} z^{-N_\alpha} = e^{\alpha_{-n} z^n} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{z}^{N_\alpha} e^{\alpha_{-n}} \bar{z}^{-N_\alpha} = e^{\alpha_{-n} \bar{z}^n} \quad \forall n \neq 0 . \quad (6.5)$$

and analogous expressions involving fermionic operators, one can explicitly evaluate the contractions among the oscillators getting the following contributions (for $d = 10$)

$$\text{bosons} \longrightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^{2n}} \right)^8 \quad (6.6)$$

$$\text{fermions} \longrightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + \eta_1 \eta_2 q^{2n-1})^8 . \quad (6.7)$$

with $q = |z| = e^{-\pi t}$. Introducing the functions f_i defined as

$$f_1 \equiv q^{\frac{1}{12}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n}) \quad ; \quad f_2 \equiv \sqrt{2} q^{\frac{1}{12}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^{2n}) \quad ; \quad (6.8)$$

$$f_3 \equiv q^{-\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^{2n-1}) \quad ; \quad f_4 \equiv q^{-\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n-1}) , \quad (6.9)$$

which under the modular transformation $t \rightarrow 1/t$ transform as

$$f_1(e^{-\frac{\pi}{t}}) = \sqrt{t} f_1(e^{-\pi t}) \quad ; \quad f_2(e^{-\frac{\pi}{t}}) = f_4(e^{-\pi t}) \quad ; \quad f_3(e^{-\frac{\pi}{t}}) = f_3(e^{-\pi t}) , \quad (6.10)$$

the GSO projected NS-NS amplitude turns out to be

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{NS-NS}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{f_3}{f_1} \right)^8 - \left(\frac{f_4}{f_1} \right)^8 \right] , \quad (6.11)$$

while in the R-R sector, before the GSO projection, we get

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{R-R}}(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \left[\frac{1}{16} \left(\frac{f_2}{f_1} \right)^8 \delta_{\eta_1 \eta_2, 1} + \delta_{\eta_1 \eta_2, -1} \right] , \quad (6.12)$$

Let us discuss now the zero modes contribution. In the NS-NS sector there are zero modes only in the bosonic sector and they contribute as follows:

$$\langle p = 0 | \delta^{d_\perp}(\hat{q}_i) | z |^{\frac{\alpha'}{2} \hat{p}^2} \delta^{d_\perp}(\hat{q}_i - y_i) | p = 0 \rangle = V_{p+1} \int \frac{d^{d_\perp} Q}{(2\pi)^{d_\perp}} |z|^{\frac{\alpha'}{2} Q^2} e^{iQ \cdot y} , \quad (6.13)$$

where the normalization for the momentum has been chosen as

$$\langle k | k' \rangle = 2\pi \delta(k - k') , \quad \text{with} \quad (2\pi)^d \delta^d(0) \equiv V_d . \quad (6.14)$$

Performing the gaussian integral, Eq. (6.13) becomes

$$V_{p+1} e^{-y^2/(2\pi\alpha't)} (2\pi^2 t \alpha')^{-d_\perp/2} . \quad (6.15)$$

Inserting it, together with Eq.s (6.11) in Eq.(6.2) we get the total NS-NS contribution to the interaction between two Dp branes

$$A_{\text{NS-NS}} = \frac{V_{p+1}}{2} (8\pi^2 \alpha')^{-\frac{(p+1)}{2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t^{\frac{(9-p)}{2}}} e^{-y^2/(2\alpha' \pi t)} \left[\left(\frac{f_3}{f_1} \right)^8 - \left(\frac{f_4}{f_1} \right)^8 \right] , \quad (6.16)$$

The evaluation of the zero mode contribution in the R-R sector requires more care due to the presence of zero modes both in the fermionic matter fields and the bosonic superghosts. Inserting Eq. (6.12) into Eq. (6.2) we can write the total R-R contribution as

$$A_{\text{R-R}}(\eta_1, \eta_2) = V_{p+1} (8\pi^2 \alpha')^{-\frac{(p+1)}{2}} \int_0^\infty dt \left(\frac{1}{t} \right)^{\frac{(9-p)}{2}} e^{-y^2/(2\pi \alpha' t)} \\ \times \left[\frac{1}{16} \left(\frac{f_2}{f_1} \right)^8 \delta_{\eta_1 \eta_2, +1} + \delta_{\eta_1 \eta_2, -1} \right] {}^{(0)}_{\text{R}} \langle B^1, \eta_1 | B^2, \eta_2 \rangle_{\text{R}}^{(0)} , \quad (6.17)$$

where

$$|B, \eta\rangle_{\text{R}}^{(0)} = |B_\psi, \eta\rangle_{\text{R}}^{(0)} |B_{\text{sgh}}, \eta\rangle_{\text{R}}^{(0)} . \quad (6.18)$$

Notice that in Eq. (6.17) it is essential *not* to separate the matter and the superghost zero-modes. In fact, a naïve evaluation of ${}^{(0)}_{\text{R}} \langle B^1, \eta_1 | B^2, \eta_2 \rangle_{\text{R}}^{(0)}$ would lead to a divergent or ill defined result: after expanding the exponentials in ${}^{(0)}_{\text{R}} \langle B_{\text{sgh}}^1, \eta_1 | B_{\text{sgh}}^2, \eta_2 \rangle_{\text{R}}^{(0)}$, all the infinite terms with any superghost number contribute, and yield the divergent sum $1 + 1 + 1 + \dots$ if $\eta_1 \eta_2 = -1$, or the alternating sum $1 - 1 + 1 - \dots$ if $\eta_1 \eta_2 = 1$. This problem has been addressed in Ref. [11] and solved by introducing a regularization scheme for the pure Neumann case ($NN = 10$). This method has then been extended to the most general case with D-branes in Ref. [7]. Here, we give the final result for the fermionic zero mode part of the R-R sector:

$${}^{(0)}_{\text{R}} \langle B^1, \eta_1 | B^2, \eta_2 \rangle_{\text{R}}^{(0)} = -16 \delta_{\eta_1 \eta_2, 1} \quad (6.19)$$

which generalizes to the case of $\nu \neq 0$ as follows

$${}^{(0)}_{\text{R}} \langle B^1, \eta_1 | B^2, \eta_2 \rangle_{\text{R}}^{(0)} = -16 \delta_{\nu, 0} \delta_{\eta_1 \eta_2, 1} + 16 \delta_{\nu, 8} \delta_{\eta_1 \eta_2, -1} . \quad (6.20)$$

Then we get the following expression for the R-R contribution

$$A_{\text{R-R}} = V_{p+1} (8\pi^2 \alpha')^{-\frac{(p+1)}{2}} \cdot \int_0^\infty dt \left(\frac{1}{t} \right)^{\frac{(9-p)}{2}} e^{-y^2/(2\pi \alpha' t)} \frac{1}{2} \left[- \left(\frac{f_2}{f_1} \right)^8 \right] . \quad (6.21)$$

Finally the previous amplitudes can be generalized to the interaction between a Dp and a Dp' brane as follows (details on this generalization can be found in Ref. [7])

$$A_{\text{NS-NS}} = V_{NN} (8\pi^2 \alpha')^{-\frac{NN}{2}} \int_0^\infty dt \left(\frac{1}{t} \right)^{\frac{DD}{2}} e^{-y^2/(2\alpha' \pi t)} \\ \times \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{f_3}{f_1} \right)^{8-\nu} \left(\frac{f_4}{f_2} \right)^\nu - \left(\frac{f_4}{f_1} \right)^{8-\nu} \left(\frac{f_3}{f_2} \right)^\nu \right] , \quad (6.22)$$

for the NS-NS sector and

$$A_{\text{R-R}} = V_{NN} (8\pi^2 \alpha')^{-\frac{NN}{2}} \cdot \int_0^\infty dt \left(\frac{1}{t} \right)^{\frac{DD}{2}} e^{-y^2/(2\pi \alpha' t)} \frac{1}{2} \left[- \left(\frac{f_2}{f_1} \right)^8 \delta_{\nu, 0} + \delta_{\nu, 8} \right] . \quad (6.23)$$

for the R-R sector, where V_{NN} is the common world-volume of the two D-branes.

Due to the “abstruse identity”, the total D-brane amplitude

$$A = A_{\text{NS-NS}} + A_{\text{R-R}} \quad (6.24)$$

vanishes if $\nu = 0, 4, 8$; these are precisely the configurations of two D-branes which break half of the supersymmetries of the Type II theory and satisfy the BPS no-force condition.

As we said before, open/closed string duality allows to evaluate the interaction between Dp branes either in the closed, as we have done before, or in the open channel. The expressions are of course equal, as one can check performing the modular transformation $t \rightarrow \tau = \frac{1}{t}$ which brings from one channel to the other. In this duality it is particularly interesting to understand the spin structure correspondence between the two computations. Indeed for each spin structure of, for instance, the open string channel one can find a correspondent spin structure in the closed string one that gives exactly the same contribution to the free energy. In the open string language the free energy corresponding to the various spin structures is given by:

$$F_i = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \text{Tr}_i \left[e^{-2\pi\tau(L_0 - a)} \right] \quad (6.25)$$

where $a = 1/2(a = 0)$ in the NS(R) sector, the index i runs over the four open string spin structures:

$$i = NS, NS(-1)^F, R, R(-1)^F \quad (6.26)$$

and the factor $(-1)^F$ comes from the open string GSO projectors defined in Eq.s (2.42) and (2.43) for the R and NS sectors respectively, which must be inserted in the trace in Eq.(6.25). On the other hand the various spin structures in the closed string channel are given by:

$$F_{\eta\eta'} = \langle B, \eta | D | B, \eta' \rangle_{NS-NS, R-R} \quad (6.27)$$

where $\eta, \eta' = \pm 1$.

By explicit calculation one gets the contribution of the four spin structures in the open string channel to be given by:

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \text{Tr}_{NS} \left[e^{-2\pi\tau L_0} \right] = \frac{V_{NN}}{(8\pi^2\alpha')^{NN/2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \tau^{-NN/2} e^{b^2\tau/(2\pi\alpha')} \left(\frac{f_2(k)}{f_4(k)} \right)^\nu \left(\frac{f_3(k)}{f_1(k)} \right)^{8-\nu} \quad (6.28)$$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \text{Tr}_R \left[e^{-2\pi\tau L_0} \right] = \frac{V_{NN}}{(8\pi^2\alpha')^{NN/2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \tau^{-NN/2} e^{b^2\tau/(2\pi\alpha')} \left(\frac{f_3(k)}{f_4(k)} \right)^\nu \left(\frac{f_2(k)}{f_1(k)} \right)^{8-\nu} \quad (6.29)$$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \text{Tr}_{NS} \left[e^{-2\pi\tau L_0} (-1)^F \right] = -\frac{V_{NN}}{(8\pi^2\alpha')^{NN/2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \tau^{-NN/2} e^{-b^2\tau/(2\pi\alpha')} \left(\frac{f_4(k)}{f_1(k)} \right)^8 \delta_{\nu 0} \quad (6.30)$$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \text{Tr}_R \left[e^{-2\pi\tau L_0} (-1)^F \right] = -\frac{V_{NN}}{(8\pi^2\alpha')^{NN/2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \tau^{-NN/2} e^{-b^2\tau/(2\pi\alpha')} \delta_{\nu 8} \quad (6.31)$$

where

$$k = e^{-\pi\tau} \quad (6.32)$$

On the other hand in the closed channel we get

$$\langle B, \eta | D | B, \eta \rangle_{NS-NS} = \frac{V_{NN}}{(8\pi^2\alpha')^{NN/2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} t^{1-DD/2} e^{-b^2/(2\pi\alpha't)} \left(\frac{f_4(q)}{f_2(q)} \right)^\nu \left(\frac{f_3(q)}{f_1(q)} \right)^{8-\nu} \quad (6.33)$$

$$\langle B, \eta | D | B, -\eta \rangle_{NS-NS} = \frac{V_{NN}}{(8\pi^2\alpha')^{NN/2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} t^{1-DD/2} e^{-b^2/(2\pi\alpha't)} \left(\frac{f_3(q)}{f_2(q)} \right)^\nu \left(\frac{f_4(q)}{f_1(q)} \right)^{8-\nu} \quad (6.34)$$

$$\langle B, \eta | D | B, \eta \rangle_{R-R} = -\frac{V_{NN}}{(8\pi^2\alpha')^{NN/2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} t^{1-DD/2} e^{-b^2/(2\pi\alpha't)} \left(\frac{f_2(q)}{f_1(q)} \right)^8 \delta_{\nu 0} \quad (6.35)$$

$$\langle B, \eta | D | B, -\eta \rangle_{R-R} = \frac{V_{NN}}{(8\pi^2\alpha')^{NN/2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} t^{1-DD/2} e^{-b^2/(2\pi\alpha't)} \delta_{\nu 8} \quad (6.36)$$

By introducing the variable $t = 1/\tau$ and using the transformations properties of the functions f_i , it is easy to show that Eq.s (6.28)-(6.31) are respectively equal to Eq.s (6.33)-(6.36) and specifically the various spin structures in the open and in the closed string channel are related as follows

$$\langle B, \eta | D | B, \eta \rangle_{NS-NS} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau} Tr_{NS} [e^{-2\pi\tau L_0}] \quad (6.37)$$

$$\langle B, \eta | D | B, -\eta \rangle_{NS-NS} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau} Tr_R [e^{-2\pi\tau L_0}] \quad (6.38)$$

$$\langle B, \eta | D | B, \eta \rangle_{R-R} = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau} Tr_{NS} [e^{-2\pi\tau L_0} (-1)^F] \quad (6.39)$$

$$\langle B, \eta | D | B, -\eta \rangle_{R-R} = - \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau} Tr_R [e^{-2\pi\tau L_0} (-1)^F] \quad (6.40)$$

The GSO projection in the closed string channel is performed by taking the following combination:

$$|B\rangle_{NS-NS} = \frac{1}{2} [|B, +\rangle_{NS-NS} - |B, -\rangle_{NS-NS}] \quad (6.41)$$

in the NS-NS sector and by

$$|B\rangle_{R-R} = \frac{1}{2} [|B, +\rangle_{R-R} + |B, -\rangle_{R-R}] \quad (6.42)$$

in the R-R sector. Using the previous Eq.s one can easily show that the following identities are satisfied

$$_{NS-NS} \langle B | D | B \rangle_{NS-NS} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \{ Tr_{NS} [e^{-2\pi\tau L_0}] - Tr_R [e^{-2\pi\tau L_0}] \} \quad (6.43)$$

and

$$_{R-R} \langle B | D | B \rangle_{R-R} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \{ Tr_{NS} [e^{-2\pi\tau L_0} (-1)^F] - Tr_R [e^{-2\pi\tau L_0} (-1)^F] \} \quad (6.44)$$

7 Classical Solutions and Born-Infeld Action From Boundary State

In this section we want to use the boundary state introduced in Sect. (5) and describing Dp branes in string theory in order to obtain the classical solutions of the low-energy string effective action discussed in Sect. (3). In particular we will show that the large distance behavior of the graviton, dilaton and R-R $p+1$ -form fields that one obtains from the boundary state exactly agrees with that obtained from the classical solution in sect. 3. Afterwards we will use the boundary state for computing the one-point couplings of the Dp branes with the massless closed string states and show that they agree with those obtained from the Born-Infeld action.

To understand how to use the boundary state in order to determine the long distance behavior of the classical massless fields generated by a Dp brane, we compare the boundary state description of the interaction between two Dp branes with its field theory counterpart. In the boundary state language two Dp branes interact via the exchange of a closed string propagator as $\langle B_1 | D | B_2 \rangle$ where D is the closed string propagator which propagates *all* the closed string states. But, if the distance between the two branes is big enough, the dominant contribution to this interaction comes

from the exchange of the massless closed string states. Thus at large distance we can factorize the previous amplitude as follows

$$\langle B_1 | D | B_2 \rangle \sim \sum_{\Psi} \langle B_1 | P_{\Psi} \rangle \langle P_{\Psi} | D | B_2 \rangle \quad (7.1)$$

where P_{Ψ} runs only over the projectors of the closed superstring massless states.

In the field theory language the interaction between two branes can be expressed as the coupling of the field generated by one brane with the corresponding current generated by the other brane or equivalently as the coupling of two current terms, one for each brane, through the appropriate propagator, summed over all the states Ψ propagating between them:

$$\sum_{\Psi} J_{\Psi}^1 \cdot \Phi_{\Psi}^2 \sim \sum_{\Psi} \int J_{\Psi}^1 \cdot D_{\Psi} \cdot J_{\Psi}^2 \quad (7.2)$$

where $\Phi_{\Psi}^2 = \int D_{\Psi} \cdot J_{\Psi}^2$ is the field corresponding to the state Ψ and generated by the brane 2, D_{Ψ} is its propagator and J_{Ψ}^1 is the current of the brane 1 which is coupled to the field Φ_{Ψ}^1 . In the field theory language $\langle B^i | P_{\Psi} \rangle$ gives exactly the currents J_{Ψ}^i , as we will discuss later. Thus comparing Eq. (7.1) with Eq. (7.2) we deduce that the long distance behavior of the classical massless fields generated by a Dp brane, that we have called Φ_{Ψ} , can be determined by computing the projection of the boundary state along the various fields Ψ after having inserted a closed string propagator as

$$\Phi_{\Psi} = \langle P_{\Psi} | D | B \rangle \quad (7.3)$$

Let us apply this procedure for computing the expression for the generic NS-NS massless field which is given by

$$J^{\mu\nu} \equiv {}_{-1}\langle \tilde{0} | {}_{-1}\langle 0 | \psi_{1/2}^{\nu} \tilde{\psi}_{1/2}^{\mu} | D | B \rangle_{NS} = -\frac{T_p}{2k_{\perp}^2} V_{p+1} S^{\nu\mu} \quad (7.4)$$

Specifying the different polarizations corresponding to the various fields (see Refs. [10, 13] for details) we get

$$\delta\varphi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d-2}} (\eta^{\mu\nu} - k^{\mu}\ell^{\nu} - k^{\nu}\ell^{\mu}) J_{\mu\nu} = \frac{d-2p-4}{2\sqrt{2(d-2)}} \mu_p \frac{V_{p+1}}{k_{\perp}^2} \quad (7.5)$$

for the dilaton,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu}(k) &= \frac{1}{2} (J_{\mu\nu} + J_{\nu\mu}) - \frac{\delta\varphi}{\sqrt{d-2}} \eta_{\mu\nu} = \\ &= \sqrt{2}\mu_p \frac{V_{p+1}}{k_{\perp}^2} \text{diag}(-A, A \dots A, B \dots B) \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (7.6)$$

for the graviton, where A and B are given in Eq. (3.17) and

$$\delta\mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (J_{\mu\nu} - J_{\nu\mu}) = 0 \quad (7.7)$$

for the antisymmetric tensor. In the R-R sector we get instead

$$\delta\mathcal{C}_{01\dots p}(k) \equiv \langle P_{01\dots p}^{(C)} | D | B \rangle_R = \mp \mu_p \frac{V_{p+1}}{k_{\perp}^2} \quad . \quad (7.8)$$

Expressing the previous fields in configuration space using the following Fourier transform valid for $p < d-3$

$$\int d^{(p+1)}x d^{(d-p-1)}x \frac{e^{ik_{\perp} \cdot x_{\perp}}}{(d-p-3) r^{d-p-3} \Omega_{d-p-2}} = \frac{V_{p+1}}{k_{\perp}^2} \quad , \quad (7.9)$$

remembering the expression Q_p defined in Eq.(3.24) and rescaling the fields according to

$$\phi = \sqrt{2}\kappa\varphi \quad , \quad h_{\mu\nu} = 2\kappa\tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} \quad , \quad C_{01\dots p} = \sqrt{2}\kappa\mathcal{C}_{01\dots p} \quad , \quad (7.10)$$

we get the following large distance behavior

$$\delta\phi(r) = \frac{d-2p-4}{2\sqrt{2}(d-2)} \frac{Q_p}{r^{d-p-3}} \quad (7.11)$$

for the dilaton,

$$\delta h_{\mu\nu}(r) = 2\frac{Q_p}{r^{d-p-3}} \text{diag}(-A, \dots A, B \dots B) \quad , \quad (7.12)$$

for the graviton and

$$\delta C_{01\dots p}(r) = \mp \frac{Q_p}{r^{d-p-3}} \quad (7.13)$$

for the R-R form potential.

The previous equations reproduce exactly the behavior for $r \rightarrow \infty$ of the metric in Eq.(3.15) and of the R-R potential given in Eq.(3.16). In fact at large distance their fluctuations around the background values are exactly equal to $\delta h_{\mu\nu}$ and $\delta C_{01\dots p}$. In the case of the dilaton, in order to find agreement between the boundary state and the classical solution, we have to take $d = 10$, consistently with the fact that this is the critical dimension for superstrings.

Let us now discuss how to derive the Born-Infeld action, which describes the low-energy dynamics of a Dp brane from the boundary state. First we evaluate the couplings of a Dp brane with the closed string massless states showing that the structure of those couplings is the same as that obtained from the Born-Infeld action and actually the comparison with what comes from the Born-Infeld action allows us to fix the brane tension and charge in terms of the string parameters α' and g_s .

The coupling of a Dp brane with a specific massless field Ψ can be computed by saturating the boundary state with the corresponding field $\langle\Psi|$ ($\langle\Psi|$ can be $\langle\Psi_h|$, $\langle\Psi_B|$, $\langle\Psi_\varphi|$ corresponding respectively to the graviton, antisymmetric tensor and dilaton or $\langle\mathcal{C}_{(n)}|$ corresponding to a R-R state). By proceeding in this way we get the following couplings:

$$\mathcal{T}_\varphi \equiv \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} J^{\mu\nu} (\eta_{\mu\nu} - k_\mu \ell_\nu - k_\nu \ell_\mu) \varphi = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} V_{p+1} T_p \frac{d-2p-4}{2} \varphi \quad ; \quad (7.14)$$

for the dilaton,

$$\mathcal{T}_h \equiv J^{\mu\nu} \tilde{h}_{\mu\nu} = -V_{p+1} T_p \eta^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{h}_{\beta\alpha} \quad (7.15)$$

for the graviton,

$$\mathcal{T}_B \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} J^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{B}_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad (7.16)$$

for the NS-NS 2-form potential and

$$\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{C}_n} \equiv \langle\tilde{C}_{(n)}|B\rangle_R = -\frac{\tilde{C}_{\mu_1\dots\mu_n}}{16\sqrt{2}(n)!} V_{p+1} \frac{T_p}{2} 2Tr(\Gamma^{\mu_n\dots\mu_1} \Gamma^0 \dots \Gamma^p) \quad (7.17)$$

for the R-R states. Computing the trace one gets

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}_{(p+1)}} = \frac{\sqrt{2} T_p}{(p+1)!} V_{p+1} C_{\alpha_0\dots\alpha_p} \varepsilon^{\alpha_0\dots\alpha_p} \quad (7.18)$$

where we have used the fact that for $d = 10$ the Γ matrices are 32×32 dimensional matrices, and thus $Tr(\mathbb{1}) = 32$. Here $\varepsilon^{\alpha_0\dots\alpha_p}$ indicates the completely antisymmetric tensor on the D brane

world-volume⁵. It can be checked that the previous couplings can be obtained by extracting the terms linear in the massless closed string states from the following action:

$$S_{DBI} = -\tau_p \int d^{p+1}\xi e^{-\kappa\varphi(3-p)/(2\sqrt{2})} \sqrt{-\det [g_{\alpha\beta} + \sqrt{2}\kappa\tilde{B}_{\alpha\beta}e^{-\kappa\phi/\sqrt{2}}]} + \mu_p \int_{V_{p+1}} \tilde{C}_{p+1} \quad (7.19)$$

provided that the brane tension and charge are given by

$$\tau_p = \frac{T_p}{\kappa} = \frac{(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^{1-p}}{2\pi g_s \alpha'} \quad , \quad \mu_p = \sqrt{2}T_p = \sqrt{2\pi}(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^{3-p} \quad , \quad (7.20)$$

where T_p is given in Eq.(5.12) for $d = 10$.

In the previous action the closed string fields are canonically normalized while in the super-gravity actions in Eq.s (3.1) and (3.4) they are not. In order to have in the Born-Infeld action the massless closed string fields normalized as in Eq.s (3.1) and (3.4) we need to introduce the following fields:

$$\sqrt{2}\kappa\varphi = \phi \quad , \quad \sqrt{2}\kappa\mathcal{C} = C \quad , \quad \sqrt{2}\kappa\mathcal{B} = B \quad (7.21)$$

In terms of these new fields the Born-Infeld action becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{BI} = & -\tau_p \int d^{p+1}x e^{-(3-p)\phi/4} \times \sqrt{-\det [G_{\alpha\beta} + e^{-\phi/2} (B_{\alpha\beta} + 2\pi\alpha' F_{\alpha\beta})]} + \\ & + \tau_p \int_{V_{p+1}} \sum_n C_n e^{(2\pi\alpha' F+B)} \end{aligned} \quad (7.22)$$

which has been generalized in order to include also the brane coupling with open string fields. Actually the dependence of the Born-Infeld action on the open string fields can be explicitly obtained by constructing the boundary state having a gauge field on it and repeating the calculation just done (see Refs. [2, 13] for details). It is important at this point to stress that the Born-Infeld action in Eq. (7.22) contains two kinds of very different fields. The first ones are the massless closed string excitations of the NS-NS and R-R sectors that live in the entire ten-dimensional space and that enter in the Born-Infeld action through their pullback into the world-volume of the Dp brane defined by

$$G_{\alpha\beta} = G_{\mu\nu}\partial_\alpha x^\mu \partial_\beta x^\nu \quad , \quad B_{\alpha\beta} = B_{\mu\nu}\partial_\alpha x^\mu \partial_\beta x^\nu \quad (7.23)$$

with a similar expression for the R-R fields. The second ones are instead the fields corresponding to the massless open-string states discussed at the end of Sect. 4, that live on the world-volume of the brane and that are the gauge field A_α with field strength $F_{\alpha\beta}$ and the Higgs fields Φ^i related to the transverse brane coordinates x^i by the relation $x^i \equiv 2\pi\alpha'\Phi^i$. They play the role of longitudinal and transverse coordinates of the brane. This is consistent with the fact that the dynamics of the brane is determined by the open strings having their end-points on the brane. In the case of a system of N coincident branes the Born-Infeld action gets modified by the fact that the coordinates of the branes become non-abelian fields. The complete expression of the non-abelian Born-Infeld action is not known. But for our purpose it is sufficient to consider the non-abelian extension given in Ref. [14] where the symmetrized trace is introduced. Moreover it can be shown that a system of N coincident Dp branes is a BPS state preserving 1/2 supersymmetry (corresponding to 16 preserved supersymmetries) and as a consequence they are not interacting. This can be easily seen by plugging the classical solution given in Eq.s (3.15) and (3.16) with $d = 10$ in the Born-Infeld action in Eq.(7.22) obtaining the interaction term of the Lagrangian. In fact if we do that neglecting the coordinates of the brane we get

⁵ Our convention is that $\varepsilon^{0\dots p} = -\varepsilon_{0\dots p} = 1$.

$$\tau_p \int d^{p+1}x \left\{ -H^{[(p-7)(p+1)-(p-3)^2]/16} + \frac{1}{H} - 1 \right\} = -\tau_p \int d^{p+1}x \quad (7.24)$$

that is independent on the distance r between the brane probe described by the Born-Infeld action and the system of N coincident branes described by the classical solution.

A system of N Dp branes has a $U(N)$ gauge theory living on its world-volume with 16 supersymmetries corresponding, in the case of $p = 3$, to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills in four dimensions that is a conformal invariant theory with vanishing β -function. Its Lagrangian can be obtained by expanding the first term of the Born-Infeld action up to the quadratic order in the gauge fields living on the brane. Neglecting the term independent from the gauge fields that we have already computed in Eq.(7.24) we get the following Lagrangian:

$$L = \frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} \left[-\frac{1}{4} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\alpha \Phi^i \partial^\alpha \Phi^i \right] + \dots \quad (7.25)$$

where the gauge coupling constant is indeed a constant given by:

$$g_{YM}^2 = \frac{2}{\tau_p (2\pi\alpha')^2} = \frac{2g_s \sqrt{\alpha'} (2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^p}{(2\pi\alpha')^2} \quad (7.26)$$

where the extra factor 2 in the second term comes from the fact that we have normalized the generators of the $SU(N)$ gauge group according to Eq. (2.98).

In particular for $p = 3$ we get $g_{YM}^2 = 4\pi g_s$. The action in Eq.(7.25) corresponds to the dimensional reduction of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super Yang-Mills in ten dimensions to $(p+1)$ dimensions.

The previous considerations imply that the low-energy dynamics of branes can be used to determine the properties of gauge theories and viceversa.

8 Non conformal Branes

8.1 Generalities and general formulae

In the previous sections we have seen that a D brane has the twofold property of being a solution of the low-energy string effective action and of having a gauge theory living on its world-volume. These complementary descriptions of a D brane open the way to study the quantum properties of the world-volume gauge theory from the classical dynamics of the brane and viceversa. This goes under the name of gauge/gravity correspondence. At the end of the previous section we have already used this correspondence to derive the gauge coupling constant of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills from the supergravity solution. In particular, we have seen that the gauge coupling constant is not running consistently with the fact that the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ world-volume theory is a conformal invariant theory. In this section we want to extend these results to more realistic theories that are less supersymmetric and non conformal. Two kinds of branes have been used to study those gauge theories, namely fractional branes of some simple orbifold and branes wrapped on some nontrivial two-cycle of a Calabi-Yau space. As we have done for the D branes previously discussed, we will first construct the classical solution corresponding to a system of fractional and wrapped D branes and then insert it in the expressions for the gauge coupling constant and the vacuum angle θ_{YM} of the gauge theory living on their world-volume, expressed in terms of the supergravity fields.

It may at first sight look puzzling that the supergravity solution involving the massless closed string fields can provide perturbative information on the gauge theory living in the world-volume of a D brane. In fact, by computing for instance the one-loop annulus diagram in the full string theory we expect that the perturbative information on the gauge theory living on a D brane be given by the contribution of the massless open string states that is in general totally different from that of the massless closed string fields. It turns out, however, as shown explicitly in Ref. [15] for the case of fractional branes, that in certain cases the contribution of the massless open string states to the annulus diagram transform under open/closed string duality exactly into that of the

massless closed string states without any mixing with the massive states. This procedure shows that in the case of fractional branes the gauge/gravity correspondence follows from open/closed string duality.

Let us now briefly illustrate how to derive these gauge-gravity relations for the gauge theory living on fractional D3 and wrapped D5 branes using supergravity calculations. Since also the fractional D3 branes are D5 branes wrapped on a vanishing two-cycle corresponding to a fixed point of an orbifold we can start from the Born-Infeld action of a D5 brane that in the string frame is given by:

$$S = -\tau_5 \int d^6 \xi e^{-(\phi-\phi_0)} \sqrt{-\det(G_{ab} + B_{ab} + 2\pi\alpha' F_{ab})} \quad , \quad \tau_5 = \frac{1}{g_s \sqrt{\alpha'} (2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^5} \quad (8.27)$$

We divide the 6-dimensional world-volume into four flat directions on which the gauge theory lives and 2 directions on which the brane is wrapped. Let us denote them with the indices $a, b = (\alpha, \beta; A, B)$ where α and β denote the flat four-dimensional ones, while A and B the wrapped ones. Let us assume that the determinant in Eq. (8.27) factorizes into a product of two determinants; one corresponding to the four-dimensional flat directions where the gauge theory lives and the other corresponding to the wrapped ones where we only have the metric and the NS-NS two-form field. By expanding the first determinant and keeping only the quadratic term in the gauge field we obtain:

$$-\tau_5 \cdot \frac{(2\pi\alpha')^2}{8} \int d^6 \xi e^{-(\phi-\phi_0)} \sqrt{-\det G_{\alpha\beta}} G^{\alpha\gamma} G^{\beta\delta} F_{\alpha\beta} F_{\gamma\delta} \sqrt{\det(G_{AB} + B_{AB})} \quad (8.28)$$

We assume that along the flat four-dimensional directions the metric has only the warp factor, while along the wrapped ones, in addition to the warp factor, there is also a nontrivial metric. This means that the longitudinal part of the metric can be written as

$$ds^2 = H^{-1/2} (dx_{3,1}^2 + ds_2^2) \quad , \quad e^{(\phi-\phi_0)} = H^{-1/2} \quad (8.29)$$

where we have also written the dilaton dependence on the warp factor. Inserting this metric in Eq. (8.28) we see that the warp factor cancels in the Yang-Mills action and from it we can then extract the gauge coupling constant as the coefficient of $-\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$:

$$\frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} = \tau_5 \frac{(2\pi\alpha')^2}{2} \int d^2 \xi e^{-(\phi-\phi_0)} \sqrt{\det(G_{AB} + B_{AB})} \quad (8.30)$$

This formula is valid for both wrapped and fractional branes of the orbifold C^2/Z_2 and can be rewritten as:

$$\frac{4\pi}{g_{YM}^2} = \frac{1}{g_s (2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^2} \int d^2 \xi e^{-(\phi-\phi_0)} \sqrt{\det(G_{AB} + B_{AB})} \quad (8.31)$$

The θ angle in the case of both fractional D3 branes and wrapped D5 branes can be obtained extracting the coefficient of $\frac{1}{32\pi^2} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$ from the Wess-Zumino-Witten part of the Born-Infeld action and is given by:

$$\theta_{YM} = \tau_5 (2\pi\alpha')^2 (2\pi)^2 \int_{C_2} (C_2 + C_0 B_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha' g_s} \int_{C_2} (C_2 + C_0 B_2) \quad (8.32)$$

In the following subsections we will consider solutions of the classical equations of ten-dimensional IIB supergravity and we will insert them in the previously derived expressions for the gauge couplings obtaining perturbative and non-perturbative information on the gauge theory living on the world-volume of these branes.

8.2 Fractional branes

In this subsection we will consider fractional D3 and D7 branes of the orbifolds C^2/Z_2 and $C^3/(Z_2 \times Z_2)$ in order to study the properties of respectively $\mathcal{N} = 2$ and $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric gauge theories. We group the coordinates of the directions $x^4, \dots, x^9$ transverse to the world-volume of the D3 brane where the gauge theory lives, into three complex quantities:

$$z_1 = x^4 + ix^5, \quad z_2 = x^6 + ix^7, \quad z_3 = x^8 + ix^9 \quad (8.33)$$

In the case of the first orbifold the nontrivial generator h of Z_2 acts as

$$z_2 \rightarrow -z_2, \quad z_3 \rightarrow -z_3 \quad (8.34)$$

while in the case of the second orbifold the three nontrivial generators act as follows on the transverse coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 \equiv h \times 1 &\Rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow z_1, \quad z_2 \rightarrow -z_2, \quad z_3 \rightarrow -z_3 \\ h_2 \equiv 1 \times h &\Rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow -z_1, \quad z_2 \rightarrow z_2, \quad z_3 \rightarrow -z_3 \\ h_3 \equiv h \times h &\Rightarrow z_1 \rightarrow -z_1, \quad z_2 \rightarrow -z_2, \quad z_3 \rightarrow z_3 \end{aligned} \quad (8.35)$$

They are both non compact orbifolds with respectively one and three fixed points at the origin corresponding to the point $z_2, z_3 = 0$ and to the three points $z_1, z_2 = 0$, $z_1, z_3 = 0$ and $z_2, z_3 = 0$. Each fixed point corresponds to a vanishing 2-cycle. Fractional D3 branes are D5 branes wrapped on the vanishing two-cycle and therefore are, unlike bulk branes, stuck at the orbifold fixed point. By considering N fractional D3 and M ($2M$) fractional D7 branes of the orbifold C^2/Z_2 ($C^3/(Z_2 \times Z_2)$) we are able to study $\mathcal{N} = 2$ ($\mathcal{N} = 1$) super QCD with M hypermultiplets. In order to do that we need to determine the classical solution corresponding to the previous brane configuration. For the case of the orbifold C^2/Z_2 the complete classical solution has been found in Ref. [16]⁶. In the following we write it explicitly for a system of N fractional D3 branes with world-volume along the directions x^0, x^1, x^2 , and x^3 and M D7 fractional branes containing the D3 branes in their world-volume and having the remaining four world-volume directions along the orbifolded ones. The metric, the 5-form field strength, the axion and the dilaton are given by⁷:

$$ds^2 = H^{-1/2} \eta_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta + H^{1/2} (\delta_{\ell m} dx^\ell dx^m + e^{-\phi} \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j), \quad (8.36)$$

$$\tilde{F}_{(5)} = d(H^{-1} dx^0 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^3) + *d(H^{-1} dx^0 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^3), \quad (8.37)$$

$$\tau \equiv C_0 + ie^{-\phi} = i \left(1 - \frac{M g_s}{2\pi} \log \frac{z}{\epsilon} \right), \quad z \equiv x^4 + ix^5 = \rho e^{i\theta} \quad (8.38)$$

where the warp factor H is a function of all coordinates that are transverse to the D3 brane ($x^4, \dots, x^9$). The twisted fields are instead given by $B_2 = \omega_2 b$, $C_2 = \omega_2 c$ where ω_2 is the volume form corresponding to the vanishing 2-cycle and

$$be^{-\phi} = \frac{(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^2}{2} \left[1 + \frac{2N - M}{\pi} g_s \log \frac{\rho}{\epsilon} \right], \quad c + C_0 b = -2\pi\alpha'\theta g_s(2N - M) \quad (8.39)$$

It can be seen that the previous solution has a naked singularity of the repulson type at short distances. But, on the other hand, if we probe it with a brane probe approaching the stack of branes corresponding to the classical solution from infinity, it can also be seen that the tension of the probe vanishes at a certain distance from the stack of branes that is larger than that of the

⁶ See also Refs. [17, 18, 19, 20, 21] and Ref. [22] for a review on fractional branes.

⁷ We denote with α and β the four directions corresponding to the world-volume of the fractional D3 brane, with ℓ and m those along the four orbifolded directions x^6, x^7, x^8 and x^9 and with i and j the directions x^4 and x^5 that are transverse to both the D3 and the D7 branes.

naked singularity. The point where the probe brane becomes tensionless is called in the literature enhançon [23] and at this point the classical solution cannot be used anymore to describe the stack of fractional branes.

Now let us exploit the gauge/gravity correspondence to determine the coupling constants of the world-volume theory from the supergravity solution. In the case of fractional D3 branes of the orbifold C^2/Z_2 having only one single vanishing two cycle Eq.(8.31) becomes:

$$\frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} = \frac{\tau_5(2\pi\alpha')^2}{2} \int_{C_2} e^{-\phi} B_2 = \frac{1}{4\pi g_s(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^2} \int_{C_2} e^{-\phi} B_2 \quad (8.40)$$

Inserting in Eq.s (8.40) and (8.32) the classical solution we get the following expression for the gauge coupling constant and the θ angle [16] :

$$\frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} = \frac{1}{8\pi g_s} + \frac{2N-M}{8\pi^2} \log \frac{\rho}{\epsilon} \quad , \quad \theta_{YM} = -\theta(2N-M) \quad (8.41)$$

In the case of an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric theory there is also a complex scalar field Ψ in the gauge multiplet that we expect to find when deriving the Yang-Mills action from the Born-Infeld one. In fact in this derivation we get a contribution from the kinetic term of the brane coordinates x^4 and x^5 that are transverse to the ones on which the branes live and to the orbifolded ones. This implies that the complex scalar field of the gauge supermultiplet is related to the coordinate z of supergravity through the following gauge-gravity relation $\Psi \sim \frac{z}{2\pi\alpha'}$. This is a relation between a quantity of the gauge theory living on the fractional D3 branes and the coordinate z of supergravity. Such an identification allows one to obtain the gauge theory anomalies from the supergravity background. In fact, since we know how the anomalous scale and $U(1)$ transformations act on Ψ , from the previous gauge-gravity relation we can deduce how they act on z , namely

$$\Psi \rightarrow se^{2i\alpha}\Psi \iff z \rightarrow se^{2i\alpha}z \implies \rho \rightarrow s\rho \quad , \quad \theta \rightarrow \theta + 2\alpha \quad (8.42)$$

Those transformations do not leave invariant the supergravity background in Eq.s (8.39) and when we plug it in Eq.s (8.40) and (8.32), they generate the anomalies of the gauge theory living on the fractional D3 branes. In fact acting with those transformations on Eq.s (8.41) we get:

$$\frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} + \frac{2N-M}{8\pi^2} \log s \quad , \quad \theta_{YM} \rightarrow \theta_{YM} - 2\alpha(2N-M) \quad (8.43)$$

The first equation implies that the β -function of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ super QCD with M hypermultiplets is given by:

$$\beta(g_{YM}) = -\frac{2N-M}{16\pi^2} g_{YM}^3 \quad (8.44)$$

while the second one reproduces the chiral $U(1)$ anomaly [24, 25]. In particular, if we choose $\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{2(2N-M)}$, then θ_{YM} is shifted by a multiple of 2π . But since θ_{YM} is periodic of 2π , this means that the subgroup $Z_{2(2N-M)}$ is not anomalous in perfect agreement with gauge theory results.

From Eq.s (8.41) it is easy to compute the combination:

$$\tau_{YM} \equiv \frac{\theta_{YM}}{2\pi} + i \frac{4\pi}{g_{YM}^2} = i \frac{2N-M}{2\pi} \log \frac{z}{\rho_e} \quad , \quad \rho_e = \epsilon e^{\pi/(2N-M)g_s} \quad (8.45)$$

where ρ_e is called in the literature the enhançon radius and corresponds in the gauge theory to the dimensional scale Λ generated by dimensional transmutation. Eq. (8.45) reproduces the perturbative moduli space of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ super QCD, but not the instanton corrections. This corresponds to the fact that the classical solution is reliable for large distances in supergravity corresponding to short distances in the gauge theory, while it cannot be used below the enhançon radius where non-perturbative physics is expected to show up.

Indeed in that corner of the moduli space the effective theory will receive instanton corrections proportional to powers of the one-instanton action

$$\exp\left(-\frac{8\pi^2}{g^2} + i\theta_{YM}\right) = \exp(2\pi i\tau_{YM}) = \left(\frac{\rho_e}{z}\right)^{2N-M}. \quad (8.46)$$

Thus the instantonic contributions become quite suddenly important near the enhançon radius $|z| = \rho_e$. This means that in order to study non-perturbative effects in the gauge theory we need to find a classical solution free from enhançons and naked singularities. In the next section we will see that this can be done for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super Yang-Mills that lives in the world-volume of a wrapped D5 brane described by the Maldacena-Nuñez solution. Before passing to this solution let us first extend the previous results to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super QCD that can be obtained as a particular case of the general one studied in Ref. [26]. In this case only the asymptotic behavior for large distances of the classical solution has been explicitly obtained and this is sufficient for computing the gauge coupling constant and the θ angle of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super QCD. As explained in Ref. [26], together with N fractional D3 branes of the same type, one must also consider two kinds of M fractional D7 branes in order to avoid gauge anomalies

In the case of the orbifold $C^3/(Z_2 \times Z_2)$ the gauge coupling constant is related to the supergravity solution as follows:

$$\frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} = \tau_5 \frac{(2\pi\alpha')^2}{4} \left[\sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{C_2^{(i)}} e^{-\phi} B_2 - (2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^2 \right] \quad (8.47)$$

while the θ_{YM} is given by:

$$\theta_{YM} = \tau_5 \frac{(2\pi\alpha')^2}{2} (2\pi)^2 \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{C_2^{(i)}} (C_2 + C_0 B_2) \quad (8.48)$$

Notice that Eq.s (8.47) and (8.48) differ respectively from Eq.s (8.40) and (8.32) by a factor $1/2$ in the normalization. This is due to the fact that the projector of this orbifold - which is $P = \frac{1+h_1+h_2+h_3}{4}$ - has an additional factor $1/2$ with respect to the one of the orbifold C_2/Z_2 (which is $P = \frac{1+h}{2}$). Using the explicit supergravity solution one gets the following expressions for the gauge coupling constant and the θ angle ($z_i = \rho_i e^{i\theta_i}$) [25, 27, 26]:

$$\frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} = \frac{1}{16\pi g_s} + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \left(N \sum_{i=1}^3 \log \frac{\rho_i}{\epsilon} - M \log \frac{\rho_1}{\epsilon} \right), \quad \theta_{YM} = -N \sum_{i=1}^3 \theta_i + M \theta_1 \quad (8.49)$$

As explained in Ref. [25, 27] the anomalous scale and $U(1)$ transformations act on z_i as $z_i \rightarrow s e^{i2\alpha/3} z_i$. This implies that the gauge parameters are transformed as follows:

$$\frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} + \frac{3N-M}{8\pi^2} \log s, \quad \theta_{YM} \rightarrow \theta_{YM} - 2\alpha \left(N - \frac{M}{3} \right) \quad (8.50)$$

that reproduce the anomalies of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super QCD. The difference between the anomalies in the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ (Eq.(8.43)) and $\mathcal{N} = 1$ (Eq.(8.50)) super QCD can be easily understood in terms of the different structure of the two orbifolds considered. If we consider the two gauge coupling constants there is a factor $\frac{3}{2}$ between the contributions coming from the pure gauge part, while the contribution of the matter is the same. The factor 3 follows from the fact that the orbifold $C^3/(Z_2 \times Z_2)$ has three vanishing two-cycles instead of just one, while the factor $\frac{1}{2}$ from the additional factor $\frac{1}{2}$ in the orbifold projection for the orbifold $C^3/(Z_2 \times Z_2)$ with respect to the orbifold C^2/Z_2 . This explains the factor $\frac{3}{2}$ in the gauge field contribution to the β -function. The matter part is the same because in the orbifold C^2/Z_2 we have only one kind of fractional branes, while in the other orbifold, in order to cancel the gauge anomaly [26], we need two kinds of

fractional branes. This factor 2 cancels the factor $\frac{1}{2}$ coming from the orbifold projection. Similar considerations can also be used to relate the two chiral anomalies.

In conclusion, by using the fractional branes we have reproduced the one-loop perturbative behavior of both $\mathcal{N} = 1$ and $\mathcal{N} = 2$ super QCD, but, because of the enhançon and naked singularities, we are not able to enter the non-perturbative region in the gauge theory corresponding to short distances in supergravity. In order to do this we must find a classical solution free of singularities. That is why in the next section we turn to wrapped branes.

8.3 Wrapped branes and topological twist

In this section we consider D5 branes wrapped on some nontrivial cycle of a Calabi-Yau space and by means of the topological twist we construct the Maldacena-Nuñez solution. The topological twist acts also on the gauge theory living on the world-volume of the wrapped branes by reducing, in the case of the Maldacena-Nuñez solution, the original $\mathcal{N} = 4$ to an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry. We show that the gauge theory living on the world-volume of the branes described by the Maldacena-Nuñez solution is $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super Yang-Mills.

If we consider a D5 brane wrapped on some nontrivial cycle of a Calabi-Yau space in general we break completely supersymmetry because the Killing spinor equation:

$$D_M \epsilon = (\partial_M + \omega_M) \epsilon = 0 \quad (8.51)$$

does not in general admit any non trivial solution. This means that it is not an easy task to find a classical solution corresponding to a wrapped D5 brane and preserving some supersymmetry starting directly from the action of 10-dimensional IIB supergravity. As suggested in Ref. [28] it is much more convenient to start from the action of the 7-dimensional gauged supergravity that corresponds to the 10-dimensional IIB supergravity on $R^{1,6} \times S^3$. In this way one has an action that also contains the gauge fields of $SO(4)$, that is the isometry group of S^3 , and the condition in Eq.(8.51) becomes:

$$D_M \epsilon = (\partial_M + \omega_M + A_M) \epsilon = 0 \quad (8.52)$$

In this case it is not difficult to keep some supersymmetry with a constant spinor ϵ by requiring an identification of the spin connection of the two-cycle S^2 around which we wrap the D5 brane with a subgroup $U(1)$ of the gauge group $SO(4)$. This identification is called the topological twist. In particular, if we write $SO(4) = SU(2)_{L'} \times SU(2)_{R'}$, it is possible to see that one can preserve four supersymmetries corresponding to an $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric gauge theory if we identify the spin connection with a $U(1)$ subgroup of $SU(2)_{L'}$.

The topological twist acts also on the gauge theory living in the world-volume of the wrapped brane reducing the states of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills to those of a gauge theory with less supersymmetry. In particular, let us consider a D5 brane wrapped on S^2 that breaks the ten-dimensional Minkowski symmetry into:

$$SO(1, 9) \rightarrow SO(1, 5) \times SO(4)_R = SO(1, 5) \times SU(2)_{L'} \times SU(2)_{R'} \quad (8.53)$$

According to this decomposition the vector and the four scalar fields corresponding to the transverse coordinates of the brane, transform as

$$A_\mu \rightarrow (6; 1, 1) \quad , \quad \Phi \rightarrow (1; 2, 2) \quad (8.54)$$

while the fermions transform as follows

$$\Psi \rightarrow (4+; 2, 1) + (4-; 1, 2) \quad (8.55)$$

where the index $\pm$ refers to the six-dimensional chirality. Remember that, because of the GSO projection, the spinor Ψ has a definite (for instance negative) ten-dimensional chirality. This is consistent with the assignment in Eq.(8.55) because the state $(2, 1)[(1, 2)]$ has negative (positive)

four-dimensional chirality and the product of the four- and six-dimensional chirality is equal to the ten-dimensional one. When the brane is wrapped on S^2 there is a further breaking:

$$SO(1,9) \rightarrow SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times SO(2)_{S^2} \times SU(2)_{L'} \times SU(2)_{R'} \quad (8.56)$$

This means that the vector and scalar fields transform as follows:

$$A_\mu \rightarrow (2, 2; 1; 1, 1) + (1, 1; 2; 1, 1) \quad , \quad \Phi \rightarrow (1, 1; 1; 2, 2) \quad (8.57)$$

and the fermions as follows

$$\Psi \rightarrow (2, 1; +; 2, 1) + (1, 2; +; 1, 2) + (1, 2; -; 2, 1) + (2, 1; -; 1, 2) \quad (8.58)$$

where now $\pm$ is the chirality in the two-dimensional space spanned by S^2 . Notice that the product of the four- and of two-dimensional chirality gives the six-dimensional one.

Let us consider the case in which one of the two $SU(2)$ of the R-symmetry group is broken into $SO(2)$ and then this $SO(2)$ is identified with $SO(2)_{S^2}$. This means that:

$$SU(2)_{L'} \times SU(2)_{R'} \rightarrow SO(2)_{L'} \times SU(2)_{R'} \quad (8.59)$$

that gives rise to the following decomposition:

$$(2, 2) \rightarrow (+, 2) + (-, 2) \quad , \quad (2, 1) \rightarrow (+, 1) + (-, 1) \quad (8.60)$$

Since the massless states are singlets under the simultaneous action of $SO(2)_{L'}$ and $SO(2)_{S^2}$ it is easy to see that we are left only with the following states:

$$(2, 2; 1; 1, 1) \quad , \quad (2, 1; +; -, 1) \quad , \quad (1, 2; -; +, 1) \quad (8.61)$$

The first one corresponds to a four-dimensional gauge field, while the other two correspond to a Majorana spinor. This is the field content of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super Yang-Mills.

The gauged supergravity action containing only the fields that are turned on is given in Eq.(2.33) of Ref. [29] and the classical solution is obtained by introducing in the equations of motion that follow from the gauged supergravity action, the following ansatz for the metric:

$$ds_7^2 = e^{2f(r)} (dx_{1,3}^2 + dr^2) + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} e^{2g(r)} d\Omega_2^2 \quad (8.62)$$

where $dx_{1,3}^2$ is the Minkowski metric on $\mathbb{R}_{1,3}$, r is the transverse coordinate to the domain-wall, and $d\Omega_2^2 = d\tilde{\theta}^2 + \sin^2 \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\varphi}^2$ (with $0 \leq \tilde{\theta} \leq \pi$ and $0 \leq \tilde{\varphi} \leq 2\pi$) is the metric of a unit 2-sphere⁸. We also add the following ansatz for the gauge fields of $SU(2)_{L'}$:

$$A^1 = -\frac{1}{2\lambda} a(r) d\tilde{\theta} \quad , \quad A^2 = \frac{1}{2\lambda} a(r) \sin \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\varphi} \quad , \quad A^3 = -\frac{1}{2\lambda} \cos \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\varphi} \quad (8.63)$$

The functions $a(r)$, $f(r)$ and $g(r)$ are determined by the classical equations of motion. Actually we have not turned on only a $U(1)$ gauge field but all three gauge fields of $SU(2)_{L'}$ in order to have a solution free from naked singularities at short distances. Having found a classical solution in 7-dimensional gauged supergravity one can use known formulas [30] that uplift it to a ten-dimensional solution of IIB supergravity. In this way one gets the following ten-dimensional (string frame) metric [28, 31]:

$$ds_{10}^2 = e^\Phi \left[dx_{1,3}^2 + \frac{e^{2h}}{\lambda^2} (d\tilde{\theta}^2 + \sin^2 \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\varphi}^2) \right] + \frac{e^\Phi}{\lambda^2} \left[d\rho^2 + \sum_{a=1}^3 (\sigma^a - \lambda A^a)^2 \right] \quad , \quad (8.64)$$

⁸ Notice that the factor of λ^{-2} in (8.62) is necessary for dimensional reasons and it turns out to be equal to $\lambda^{-2} = Ng_s \alpha'$.

a ten-dimensional dilaton

$$e^{2\Phi} = \frac{\sinh 2\rho}{2e^h} , \quad (8.65)$$

and the field strength corresponding to a R-R 2-form given by:

$$F^{(3)} = \frac{2}{\lambda^2} (\sigma^1 - \lambda A^1) \wedge (\sigma^2 - \lambda A^2) \wedge (\sigma^3 - \lambda A^3) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{a=1}^3 F^a \wedge \sigma^a . \quad (8.66)$$

where

$$e^{2h} = \rho \coth 2\rho - \frac{\rho^2}{\sinh^2 2\rho} - \frac{1}{4} , \quad (8.67)$$

$$e^{2k} = e^h \frac{\sinh 2\rho}{2} , \quad (8.68)$$

$$a = \frac{2\rho}{\sinh 2\rho} \quad (8.69)$$

with $\rho \equiv \lambda r$, $h \equiv g - f$ and $k \equiv \frac{3}{2}f + g$. The left-invariant 1-forms of S^3 are

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^1 &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\cos \psi d\theta' + \sin \theta' \sin \psi d\phi \right] , \quad \sigma^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \left[\sin \psi d\theta' - \sin \theta' \cos \psi d\phi \right] , \\ \sigma^3 &= \frac{1}{2} \left[d\psi + \cos \theta' d\phi \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (8.70)$$

with $0 \leq \theta' \leq \pi$, $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$ and $0 \leq \psi \leq 4\pi$. Using the following formulas:

$$F^a = dA^a + \lambda \epsilon^{abc} A^b \wedge A^c , \quad d\sigma^a = -\epsilon^{abc} \sigma^b \wedge \sigma^c \quad (8.71)$$

it is possible to rewrite Eq.(8.66) as follows

$$F^{(3)} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \left[2\sigma^1 \wedge \sigma^2 \wedge \sigma^3 + d \left(\sum_{a=1}^3 \sigma^a \wedge \lambda A^a \right) \right] \quad (8.72)$$

and from it we can extract C_2

$$C_2 = \frac{1}{4\lambda^2} \left[\psi \sin \theta' d\theta' \wedge d\phi + 4 \sum_{a=1}^3 \sigma^a \wedge \lambda A^a \right] + constant \quad (8.73)$$

that is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} C^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{4\lambda^2} \left[\psi \left(\sin \theta' d\theta' \wedge d\phi - \sin \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\theta} \wedge d\tilde{\varphi} \right) - \cos \theta' \cos \tilde{\theta} d\phi \wedge d\tilde{\varphi} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{2\lambda^2} \left[d\tilde{\theta} \wedge \sigma^1 - \sin \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\varphi} \wedge \sigma^2 \right] + constant \end{aligned} \quad (8.74)$$

when we insert in it the three gauge fields given in Eq. (8.63). In the next section we will use the Maldacena-Nuñez solution for studying the properties of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super Yang-Mills.

8.4 Gauge couplings from MN solution

In Eq.s (8.31) and (8.32) we wrote the expression of the gauge couplings in terms of the supergravity fields both for fractional and wrapped branes. In the case of wrapped branes having $B = 0$ we see that the warp factor in Eq.(8.31) cancels giving:

$$\frac{4\pi}{g_{YM}^2} = \frac{1}{g_s(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^2} \int d^2\xi \sqrt{\det \hat{G}_{AB}} \quad (8.75)$$

where with $\hat{G}$ we have denoted the metric tensor in the wrapped directions without the warp factor.

In order to get explicitly the gauge quantities from the supergravity solution we have to identify the two-cycle. It is clear that in the 7-dimensional gauged supergravity the two-cycle is the one specified by the coordinates $\tilde{\theta}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}$ keeping the other variables fixed. But when we lift the solution up to ten dimensions there is the topological twist that mixes $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ with the variables (θ', ϕ, ψ) that describe S^3 . In the literature two choices for the two-cycle have been done. They are specified by:

1. $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ keeping the other variables fixed
2. $\tilde{\theta} = \pm\theta'$ and $\tilde{\varphi} = -\phi$ keeping ρ and ψ fixed

In Ref. [29] the first choice for the two-cycle was made and one found the following expression for the gauge coupling constant:

$$\frac{4\pi^2}{Ng_{YM}^2} = \frac{Y(\rho)}{4} E \left(\sqrt{\frac{Y(\rho)-1}{Y(\rho)}} \right) \quad , \quad Y(\rho) = 4\rho \coth 2\rho - 1 \quad (8.76)$$

where

$$E(x) \equiv \int_0^{\pi/2} d\phi \sqrt{1 - x^2 \sin^2 \phi} \quad ; \quad (8.77)$$

is the complete elliptic integral of second kind. Using the properties of the elliptic integral, it is easy to see that

$$\frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} \simeq \frac{N\rho}{4\pi^2} \quad \text{for } \rho \rightarrow \infty \quad , \quad (8.78)$$

$$\frac{1}{g_{YM}^2} \simeq \frac{N}{32\pi} \quad \text{for } \rho \rightarrow 0 \quad . \quad (8.79)$$

implying that we get asymptotic freedom in the deep ultraviolet. Putting together the ultraviolet behavior in Eq. (8.78) together with the relation connecting the supergravity variable ρ with the renormalization group scale μ ⁹

$$a(\rho) = \frac{2\rho}{\sinh 2\rho} = \frac{\Lambda^3}{\mu^3} \quad . \quad (8.80)$$

allowed the authors of Ref. [29] to get the running coupling constant of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super Yang-Mills. In fact from Eq. (8.80) one can easily get:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \log(\mu/\Lambda)} = \frac{3}{2} \left[\frac{1}{1 - (2\rho)^{-1} + 2e^{-4\rho} (1 - e^{-4\rho})^{-1}} \right] \quad . \quad (8.81)$$

On the other hand from the ultraviolet behavior in Eq.(8.78) one gets

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \log(\mu/\Lambda)} = -\frac{8\pi^2}{Ng_{YM}^3} \beta(g_{YM}) \quad (8.82)$$

where $\beta(g_{YM})$ is the β -function. Putting together Eq.s (8.81) and (8.82) we arrive at the following β -function:

⁹ The connection between the gaugino condensate and $a(\rho)$ was originally suggested in Ref. [32].

$$\beta(g_{YM}) = -\frac{3Ng_{YM}^3}{16\pi^2} \left[1 - \frac{Ng_{YM}^2}{8\pi^2} + \frac{2 \exp\left(-\frac{16\pi^2}{Ng_{YM}^2}\right)}{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{16\pi^2}{Ng_{YM}^2}\right)} \right]^{-1}. \quad (8.83)$$

This is precisely the complete perturbative NSVZ β -function of the pure $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM theory with gauge group $SU(N)$ in the Pauli-Villars regularization [33] with in addition non-perturbative corrections due to fractional instantons.

This result was questioned in Ref. [34] where it was shown that, if one also includes the first non leading logarithmic correction, one gets an extra contribution to the β -function that modifies the one derived in Ref. [33] already at two-loop level. Then, in order to recover the correct two-loop behavior, it was suggested in Ref. [34] to add in Eq.(8.80) an extra function $f(g_{YM})$ of the coupling constant that can be fixed by requiring agreement with the correct two-loop result. Of course it turns out that $f(g_{YM})$ must be singular at $g_{YM} \sim 0$ as the transformation that is needed in going from the holomorphic to the wilsonian β -function [35]. But in this way the construction of the NSVZ β -function becomes not so direct and actually rather involved.

Another problem that one encounters with the approach sketched so far is that one gets different physical properties if one uses a gauge rotated vector field of gauged supergravity in contradiction with the fact that a gauge transformation cannot change physical properties. This can be seen as follows. The $SU(2)$ gauge field of 7-dimensional gauged supergravity is not vanishing but becomes a pure gauge in the deep infrared at $\rho = 0$. One can, therefore, perform a $SU(2)$ gauge transformation that transforms it to zero at $\rho = 0$. In order to perform this gauge transformation it is convenient to rewrite the gauge field of the Maldacena-Nuñez solution as follows:

$$A_{MN} = \frac{1}{2\lambda} \left\{ (a-1) \left[\sigma^2 \sin \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\varphi} - \sigma^1 d\tilde{\theta} \right] + \left[-\sigma^1 d\tilde{\theta} + \sigma^2 \sin \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\varphi} - \sigma^3 \cos \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\varphi} \right] \right\} \quad (8.84)$$

The first term in the right hand side of the previous equation is vanishing when $\rho = 0$ because in this limit $a(\rho)$ becomes equal to 1, while the second term can be written as:

$$A_{MN}(\rho = 0) = -\frac{i}{\lambda} dh h^{-1} \quad , \quad h = e^{-i\sigma^1 \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{2}} e^{-i\sigma^3 \frac{\tilde{\varphi}}{2}} \quad (8.85)$$

It is easy to see that the gauge field in Eq. (8.85) can be gauged to zero by performing the following gauge transformation:

$$A_{MN} \rightarrow A'_{MN} = h^{-1} A_{MN} h + i \frac{1}{\lambda} h^{-1} dh \quad (8.86)$$

where h is given in Eq.(8.85). On the other hand acting with the previous gauge transformation on the entire field in Eq.(8.84) one gets [36]:

$$A_{MN}^1{}' = \frac{1-a}{2\lambda} \left[d\tilde{\theta} \cos \tilde{\varphi} - \sin \tilde{\varphi} \sin \tilde{\theta} \cos \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\varphi} \right] \quad (8.87)$$

$$A_{MN}^2{}' = -\frac{1-a}{2\lambda} \left[d\tilde{\theta} \sin \tilde{\varphi} + \cos \tilde{\varphi} \sin \tilde{\theta} \cos \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\varphi} \right] \quad (8.88)$$

$$A_{MN}^3{}' = \frac{1-a}{2\lambda} \sin^2 \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\varphi} \quad (8.89)$$

that is manifestly equal to 0 at $\rho = 0$. We can now use these gauge fields instead of the ones in Eq.(8.63) in the 10-dimensional solution given in Eq.s (8.64) and (8.73) and we expect that the physical consequences are not modified. We will see that this is not the case. In fact the term in Eq.(8.64) for the metric that is important for determining the gauge coupling constant is equal to:

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 (A^{i'})^2 = \frac{(a-1)^2}{4\lambda^2} \left[d\tilde{\theta}^2 + \sin^2 \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\varphi} \right] \quad (8.90)$$

This means that the part of the metric relevant for computing the gauge coupling constant is now given by:

$$ds_{10}^2 = e^{\Phi} \left[dx_{1,3}^2 + \frac{1}{4\lambda^2} (4e^{2h} + (a-1)^2) (d\tilde{\theta}^2 + \sin^2 \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\varphi}) \right] + \dots \quad (8.91)$$

and from it one obtains the following gauge coupling constant [36]:

$$\frac{4\pi^2}{Ng_{YM}^2} = 4e^{2h} + (a-1)^2 = \rho \tanh \rho \quad (8.92)$$

that is totally different from the one obtained in Eq.(8.76) although we have in the two cases used gauge fields that differ just by a gauge transformation. It has the same ultraviolet behavior as the expression given in Eq.(8.76) but a totally different infrared behavior, namely

$$\frac{4\pi^2}{Ng_{YM}^2} \sim \rho \quad . \quad \rho \rightarrow \infty \quad ; \quad \frac{4\pi^2}{Ng_{YM}^2} \sim \rho^2 \quad , \quad \rho \rightarrow 0 \quad (8.93)$$

This means that now the gauge coupling constant is divergent for $\rho \rightarrow 0$ and the point $\rho = 0$ corresponds in the gauge theory to the Landau pole. One possible explanation of this mismatch is that a change of gauge for the gauge fields corresponds in the gauge theory living on the brane to a change of scheme of renormalization. Moreover this change of scheme must be singular when g_{YM} is small. But on the other hand, if we compute the vacuum angle θ_{YM} after the gauge transformation one obtains a result that is completely different from that found in Ref. [29]. Inserting in Eq.(8.73) the solution in the new gauge given in Eq.s (8.87), (8.88) and (8.89) we get

$$C_2 = \frac{1}{4\lambda^2} \left\{ \psi \sin \theta' d\theta' \wedge d\phi + 2(1-a) \left[\sigma^1 \wedge (d\tilde{\theta} \cos \tilde{\varphi} - \sin \tilde{\varphi} \sin \tilde{\theta} \cos \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\varphi}) + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \sigma^2 \wedge (d\tilde{\theta} \sin \tilde{\varphi} + \cos \tilde{\varphi} \sin \tilde{\theta} \cos \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\varphi}) + \sigma^3 \wedge \sin^2 \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\varphi} \right] \right\} + const. \quad (8.94)$$

Using this gauge transformed solution in Eq.(8.32) one gets that θ_{YM} is given by

$$\theta_{YM} = -N\psi_0 \quad (8.95)$$

instead of being proportional to ψ as found in Ref. [29]. Notice that in the previous equation we have taken the constant of integration in Eq.(8.94) to be such to give Eq.(8.95).

A natural and elegant way to solve the previous problems is presented in Ref.s [37, 38, 39] and is based on the observation that the correct cycle, i.e. the one that is topologically nontrivial is not the cycle chosen in Ref. [29], but the one corresponding to the choice 2 at the beginning of this section, namely the one specified by:

$$\tilde{\theta} = \pm \theta' \quad . \quad \tilde{\varphi} = -\phi \quad (8.96)$$

keeping ρ and ψ fixed. If we now compute the gauge couplings on the cycle specified in the previous equation we get [37, 38, 39]

$$\frac{4\pi^2}{Ng_{YM}^2} = \rho \coth 2\rho \pm \frac{1}{2}a(\rho) \cos \psi \quad (8.97)$$

and

$$\theta_{YM} = \frac{1}{2\pi g_s \alpha'} \int C_2 = -N(\psi \pm a(\rho) \sin \psi + \psi_0) \quad (8.98)$$

These two Eq.s must be considered together with the relation between ρ and the renormalization group scale given in Eq. (8.80), which in the following we are going to derive. The derivation of eq(8.80) is based on the fact that, as for the fractional branes, there is a correspondence between the symmetries of the classical supergravity solution and those of the gauge theory living on the

brane described by the classical solution. If we look at the Maldacena-Nuñez solution it is easy to see that the metric in Eq.(8.64) is invariant under the following transformations:

$$\begin{cases} \psi \rightarrow \psi + 2\pi & \text{if } a \neq 0 \\ \psi \rightarrow \psi + 2\epsilon & \text{if } a = 0 \end{cases} \quad (8.99)$$

where ϵ is an arbitrary constant. On the other hand C_2 is not invariant under the previous transformations but its flux, that is exactly equal to θ_{YM} in Eq.(8.98), changes by an integer multiple of 2π . In fact one gets:

$$\theta_{YM} = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'g_s} \int_{C_2} C_2 \rightarrow \theta_{YM} + \begin{cases} -2\pi N & , \text{ if } a \neq 0 \\ -2N\epsilon & , \text{ if } a = 0, \epsilon = \frac{\pi k}{N} \end{cases} \quad (8.100)$$

This changes θ_{YM} by a factor 2π times an integer. But since the physics is periodic in θ_{YM} under a transformation $\theta_{YM} \rightarrow \theta_{YM} + 2\pi$ this means that a change as in Eq.(8.100) is an invariance. Notice that also Eq.(8.97) for the gauge coupling constant, is invariant under the transformation in Eq.(8.99). This means that the classical solution and also the gauge couplings are invariant under the Z_2 transformation if $a \neq 0$, while this symmetry becomes Z_{2N} if a is taken to be zero. This implies that, since in the ultraviolet $a(\rho)$ is exponentially small, we can neglect it and we have a Z_{2N} symmetry, while in the infrared where we cannot neglect $a(\rho)$ anymore, we have only a Z_2 symmetry left. It is on the other hand well known that $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super Yang-Mills has a non zero gaugino condensate $\langle \lambda\lambda \rangle$ that is responsible for the breaking of Z_{2N} into Z_2 . Therefore it is natural to identify the gaugino condensate with the function $a(\rho)$ that appears in the supergravity solution:

$$\langle \lambda\lambda \rangle \sim \Lambda^3 = \mu^3 a(\rho) \quad (8.101)$$

This gives the relation between the renormalization group scale μ and the supergravity space-time parameter ρ .

In the ultraviolet (large ρ) $a(\rho)$ is exponentially suppressed and in Eq.s (8.97) and (8.98) we can neglect it obtaining:

$$\frac{4\pi^2}{Ng_{YM}^2} = \rho \coth 2\rho \quad , \quad \theta_{YM} = -N(\psi + \alpha) \quad (8.102)$$

The chiral anomaly can be obtained by performing the transformation $\psi \rightarrow \psi + 2\epsilon$ and getting:

$$\theta_{YM} \rightarrow \theta_{YM} - 2N\epsilon \quad (8.103)$$

This implies that the Z_{2N} transformations corresponding to $\epsilon = \frac{\pi k}{N}$ are symmetries because they shift θ_{YM} by multiples of 2π .

In general, however, Eq.s (8.97) and (8.98) are only invariant under the Z_2 subgroup of Z_{2N} corresponding to the transformation:

$$\psi \rightarrow \psi + 2\pi \quad (8.104)$$

that changes θ_{YM} in Eq.(8.98) as follows

$$\theta_{YM} \rightarrow \theta_{YM} - 2N\pi \quad (8.105)$$

leaving invariant the gaugino condensate:

$$\langle \lambda^2 \rangle = \frac{\mu^3}{3Ng_{YM}^2} e^{-\frac{8\pi^2}{Ng_{YM}^2}} e^{i\theta_{YM}/N} \quad (8.106)$$

Therefore the chiral anomaly and the breaking of Z_{2N} to Z_2 are encoded in Eq.s (8.97) and (8.98). Actually there are N vacua characterized by the value of the phase of the gaugino condensate:

$$\langle \lambda^2 \rangle \sim \Lambda^3 e^{2i\pi k/N} e^{i\theta_{YM}} \quad (8.107)$$

that are obtained by a shift of θ_{YM} by a factor $2\pi k$ as you can see in Eq.(8.106).

Let us turn now to the scale anomaly. It is easy to check that in the ultraviolet (neglecting $a(\rho)$) from Eq.s (8.102) and (8.101) one gets the NSVZ β -function. However, having neglected $a(\rho)$ we cannot trust the contribution of the fractional instanton. On the other hand, if we do not neglect $a(\rho)$ we get also a dependence on ψ for the gauge coupling constant and this is not satisfactory. The proposal formulated in Ref. [37] has been to take the cycle that has the minimal area. This forces ψ to be equal to $(2k+1)\pi$ or $2k\pi$ depending on the sign chosen in Eq.(8.96). In both cases Eq. (8.97) becomes:

$$\frac{4\pi^2}{Ng_{YM}^2} = \rho \coth 2\rho - \frac{1}{2}a(\rho) = \rho \tanh \rho \quad (8.108)$$

precisely as in Eq.(8.92). On the other hand if we insert in Eq.(8.98) the previous values of ψ that minimize the area of the two-cycle, we get again the result of Eq. (8.95) apart from an irrelevant additional integer multiple of 2π . This means that the choice of the correct cycle depends on the gauge chosen for the gauge field of the gauged supergravity [37]. In the gauge where the $SU(2)$ gauge field is vanishing at $\rho = 0$, there is no mixing between $\theta, \tilde{\varphi}$ and the variables describing S^3 and in this case the correct cycle to be chosen is the choice 1 at the beginning of this section, while in the other gauge the correct cycle is the one specified in Eq.(8.96).

This brings us to the two following equations that determine the running of the gauge coupling constant of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super Yang-Mills as a function of the renormalization scale μ :

$$\frac{4\pi^2}{Ng_{YM}^2} = \rho \tanh \rho \quad ; \quad \frac{2\rho}{\sinh 2\rho} = \frac{\Lambda^3}{\mu^3} \quad (8.109)$$

It is easy to check that they imply the NSVZ β -function plus corrections due to fractional instantons. In fact from the previous two equations after some simple calculation one gets ¹⁰:

$$\frac{\partial g_{YM}}{\partial \log \frac{\mu}{\Lambda}} \equiv \beta(g_{YM}) = -\frac{3Ng_{YM}^3}{16\pi^2} \frac{1 + \frac{2\rho}{\sinh 2\rho}}{1 - \frac{Ng_{YM}^2}{8\pi^2} + \frac{1}{2\sinh^2 \rho}} \quad (8.110)$$

This equation is exact and should be used together with the first equation in (8.109) in order to get the β -function as a function of g_{YM} . It does not seem possible, however, to trade ρ with g_{YM} in an analytic way. It can be done in the ultraviolet where, from the first equation in (8.109), it can be seen that ρ can be approximated with $\rho = \frac{4\pi^2}{Ng_{YM}^2} \coth \frac{4\pi^2}{Ng_{YM}^2}$ obtaining the following β -function:

$$\beta(g_{YM}) = -\frac{3Ng_{YM}^3}{16\pi^2} \frac{1 + \frac{4\pi^2}{Ng_{YM}^2} \sinh^{-2} \frac{4\pi^2}{Ng_{YM}^2}}{1 - \frac{Ng_{YM}^2}{8\pi^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sinh^{-2} \frac{4\pi^2}{Ng_{YM}^2}} \quad (8.111)$$

that is equal to the NSVZ β -function plus non-perturbative corrections due to fractional instantons.

References

1. J. Maldacena, *The Large N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity*, Adv.Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231, [hep-th/9711200](#).

¹⁰ One of us (PdV) thanks M. Bertolini for pointing out a misprint in the previously published version [40] of this formula.

2. P. Di Vecchia, A. Liccardo, *D branes in string theory I*, in M-Theory and Quantum Geometry, edited by L. Thorlacius and T. Jonsson, Kluwer Academic Publishers (2000), p.1, [hep-th/9912161](#). P. Di Vecchia, A. Liccardo, *D branes in string theory II* Proceedings of the YITP workshop on Developments in Superstring and M-Theory, p. 7, [hep-th/9912275](#)
3. M. Bertolini, *Four Lectures on The Gauge/Gravity Correspondence*, [hep-th/0303160](#).
4. F. Bigazzi, A.L. Cotrone, M. Petrini and A. Zaffaroni, *Supergravity duals of supersymmetric four dimensional gauge theories*, to be published on Rivista del Nuovo Cimento, [hep-th/0303191](#).
5. D. Friedan, E. Martinec and S. Shenker, *Conformal invariance, supersymmetry and string theory*, Nucl. Phys. **B271** (1986) 93.
6. R. Pettorino and F. Pezzella, *More about picture changed vertices in superstring theory*, Phys. Lett. **B269** (1991) 77.
7. M. Billó, P. Di Vecchia, M. Frau, A. Lerda, I. Pesando, R. Russo and S. Sciuto, *Microscopic string analysis of the D0-D8 brane system and dual R-R states*, Nucl. Phys. **B526** (1998) 199, [hep-th/9802088](#).
8. P. Di Vecchia, A. Lerda, L. Magnea, R. Marotta, R. Russo, *String techniques for the calculation of renormalization constants in field theory*, Nucl.Phys. **B469** (1996) 235, [hep-th/9601143](#)
9. J. Polchinski, *Dirichlet-branes and Ramond-Ramond Charges*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75** (1995) 4724, [hep-th/9510017](#).
10. P. Di Vecchia, M. Frau, I. Pesando, S. Sciuto, A. Lerda, R. Russo, *Classical p-branes from boundary state*, Nucl. Phys. **B507** (1997) 259, [hep-th/9707068](#).
11. S.A. Yost, *Bosonized superstring boundary state and partition functions*, Nucl. Phys. **B321** (1989) 629.
12. M. Bianchi, G. Pradisi and A. Sagnotti, *Toroidal compactifications and symmetry breaking in open-string theories*, Nucl. Phys. **B376** (1992) 365.
13. P. Di Vecchia, M. Frau, A. Lerda and A. Liccardo, *(F, Dp) bound states from the boundary state*, Nucl.Phys. **B565** (2000) 397, [hep-th/9906214](#).
14. A.A. Tseytlin, *On non-abelian generalization of Born-Infeld action in string theory*, Nucl. Phys. **B501** (1997) 41, [hep-th/9701125](#).
15. P. Di Vecchia, A. Liccardo, R. Marotta and F. Pezzella, *Gauge/Gravity Correspondence from Open/Closed String Duality*, JHEP **0306** (2003) 007, [hep-th/0305061](#)
16. M. Bertolini, P. Di Vecchia, M. Frau, A. Lerda and R. Marotta, *N=2 gauge theories on systems of fractional D3/D7 branes*, Nucl. Phys. **B621** (2002) 157, [hep-th/0107057](#).
17. I.R. Klebanov and N. Nekrasov, *Gravity Duals of Fractional Branes and Logarithmic RG Flow*, Nucl. Phys. **B574** (2000) 263-274, [hep-th/9911096](#).
18. M. Bertolini, P. Di Vecchia, M. Frau, A. Lerda, R. Marotta and I. Pesando, *Fractional D-branes and their gauge duals*, JHEP **02** (2001) 014, [hep-th/0011077](#).
19. J. Polchinski, *N = 2 gauge-gravity duals*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A16** (2001) 707, [hep-th/0011193](#).
20. M. Graña and J. Polchinski, *Gauge/gravity duals with holomorphic dilaton*, Phys. Rev **D65** (2002) 126005, [hep-th/0106014](#).
21. M. Billó, L. Gallot and A. Liccardo, *Classical geometry and gauge duals for fractional branes on ALE spaces*, Nucl. Phys. **B614** (2001) 254, [hep-th/0105258](#).
22. M. Bertolini, P. Di Vecchia and R. Marotta, *N=2 four-dimensional gauge theories from fractional branes*, in "Multiple Facets of Quantization and Supersymmetry", Michael Marinov memorial volume, edited by M. Olshanetsky and A. Vainshtein, World Scientific, p. 730, [hep-th/0112195](#).
23. C.V. Johnson, A.W. Peet and J. Polchinski, *Gauge theory and the excision of repulson singularities*, Phys. Rev. **D61** (2000) 086001, [hep-th/9911161](#).
24. I.R. Klebanov, P. Ouyang and E. Witten, *A Gravity Dual of the Chiral Anomaly*, Phys.Rev. **D65** (2002) 105007, [hep-th/0202056](#).
25. M. Bertolini, P. Di Vecchia, M. Frau, A. Lerda and R. Marotta, *More anomalies from fractional branes*, Phys. Lett. **B540** (2002) 104, [hep-th/0202195](#).
26. R. Marotta, F. Nicodemi, R. Pettorino, F. Pezzella and F. Sannino, *N = 1 Matter from Fractional Branes*, JHEP **0209** (2002) 010, [hep-th/0208153](#).
27. M. Bertolini, P. Di Vecchia, G. Ferretti and R. Marotta, *Fractional Branes and N = 1 Gauge Theories*, Nucl. Phys. **360** (2002) 222, [hep-th/0112187](#).
28. J. Maldacena and C. Nuñez, *Towards The Large N Limit Of N=1 Super Yang Mills*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86** (2001) 588, [hep-th/0008001](#).
29. P. Di Vecchia, A. Lerda and P. Merlatti, *N = 1 and N = 2 super Yang-Mills theories from wrapped branes*, Nucl. Phys. **B646** (2002) 43, [hep-th/0205204](#).

30. M. Cvetič, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, *Consistent Kaluza-Klein Sphere Reduction*, Phys. Rev. **D62** (2000) 064028, [hep-th/0003286](#).
31. A. H. Chamseddine and M. S. Volkov, *Non-Abelian BPS Monopoles In $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Gauged Supergravity*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** (1997) 3343, [hep-th/9707176](#); *Non-Abelian Solitons In $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Gauged Supergravity And Leading Order String Theory*, Phys. Rev. **D57** (1998) 6242, [hep-th/9711181](#).
32. R. Apreda, F. Bigazzi, A. L. Cotrone, M. Petrini and A. Zaffaroni, *Some Comments on $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Gauge Theories From Wrapped Branes*, Phys.Lett. **B536** (2002) 161, [hep-th/0112236](#).
33. V. Novikov, M. Shifman, A. Vainshtein and V. Zakharov, *Exact Gell-Mann-Low Function Of Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theories From Instanton Calculus*, Nucl. Phys. **B229** (1983) 381.
34. P. Olesen and F. Sannino, *$\mathcal{N} = 1$ super Yang-Mills from supergravity: The UV-IR connection*, [hep-th/0207039](#).
35. M. A. Shifman and A. I. Vainshtein, *Solution Of The Anomaly Puzzle In Susy Gauge Theories And The Wilson Operator Expansion*, Nucl. Phys. **B277** (1986) 456 [Sov.Phys. JETP **64** (1986) 428].
36. P. Olesen, private communication.
37. M. Bertolini and P. Merlatti, *A note on the dual of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super Yang-Mills theory*, Phys.Lett. **B556** (2003) 80, [hep-th/0211142](#).
38. P. Merlatti, *$\mathcal{N} = 1$ super Yang-Mills theory and wrapped branes*, Proceedings of the Leuven RTN-workshop September 2002, [hep-th/0212203](#).
39. W. Mück, *Perturbative and nonperturbative aspects of pure $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super Yang-Mills theory from wrapped branes*, JHEP **0302** (2003) 013, [hep-th/0301171](#).
40. P. Di Vecchia, *Non conformal gauge theories from D branes*, Fortschritte der Physik **51/7-8** (2003) 697, [hep-th/0212162](#).